Definite and probable bioresorbable scaffold thrombosis in stable and ACS patients

Yuki Ishibashi, MD, PhD; Shimpei Nakatani, MD; Yoshinobu Onuma*, MD, PhD

Thoraxcenter, Erasmus University Medical Center, Rotterdam, The Netherlands

We read with great interest the recent report by Capodanno et al¹. In the European multicentre GHOST-EU registry, the authors reported that the rate of definite/probable scaffold thrombosis was 2.1% (definite scaffold thrombosis: 1.8%, probable scaffold thrombosis: 0.3%) in an all-comers population at six months. More specifically, the rate of definite/probable scaffold thrombosis was 1.4% (9/626) in stable/silent angina pectoris (SAP), 2.5% (14/563) in acute coronary syndrome (ACS) and 2.1% (4/192) in ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI). This publication prompted us to review the rates of scaffold thrombosis in all-comers, SAP, ACS and STEMI either reported so far in peer review journals or presented at international meetings.

Table 1 summarises the rate of scaffold thrombosis in each individual report. Excluding the GHOST-EU registry, the rate of definite/probable scaffold thrombosis was 0.89% in all-comers, 0.68% in SAP, 1.71% in ACS and 0.67% in STEMI. There were 25 definite and two probable scaffold thromboses. Out of 27 patients with scaffold thrombosis, two had acute (\leq 24 hours

Study (journal/ international congress)	Popula- tion	Follow- up	Total, N	Acute ST in total, N (%)	Subacute ST in total,	Early ST in total, N (%)	ST in total, N (%)	SAP, N	ST in SAP, N (%)	ACS, N	ST in ACS, N (%)	STEMI, N	ST in Stemi, N (%)
					N (%)								
Kraak et al, AMC single-centre (EIJ 2014)	All-comers	6 mo	135	0 (0%)	3 (2.2%)	3 (2.2%)	4 (3.0%)	82	1 (1.2%)	53	3 (5.7%)	17	0 (0%)
ABSORB FIRST, (EuroPCR 2014)	All-comers	1 mo	800	0 (0%)	2 (0.3%)	2 (0.3%)	2 (0.3%)	295	N/A	505	N/A	N/A	N/A
Azzalini et al, (EuroPCR 2014)	All-comers	N/A	339	0 (0%)	4 (1.2%)	4 (1.2%)	4 (1.2%)	N/A	3 (N/A)	N/A	0 (N/A)	N/A	1 (N/A)
Abizaid et al, ABSORB EXTEND (EIJ 2014)	SAP	12 mo	512	0 (0%)	2 (0.4%)	2 (0.4%)	4 (0.8%)	512	4 (0.8%)	-	-	-	-
Serruys et al, ABSORB B (EU 2014)	SAP	36 mo	101	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	101	0 (0%)	-	-	-	-
Onuma et al, ABSORB A (JACC CI 2013)	SAP	60 mo	30	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	30	0 (0%)	-	-	-	-
CORONARY CTO (EuroPCR 2014)	SAP	6 mo	35	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	35	0 (0%)	-	-	-	-
Serruys et al, ABSORB II (Lancet 2014)	SAP/UAP	12 mo	335	1 (0.3%)	1 (0.3%)	2 (0.6%)	3 (0.9%)	267	3 (1.1%)	68	0 (0%)	-	-
ASSURE registry (EuroPCR 2014)	SAP/UAP	12 mo	183	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	144	0 (0%)	39	0 (0%)	_	_
BVS EXPAND (EuroPCR 2014)	SAP/UAP	6 mo	200	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	4 (2.2%)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	-	-
Gori et al (EIJ 2014)	ACS	1 mo	150	1 (0.7%)	1 (0.7%)	2 (1.4%)	4 (2.7%)	-	-	150	4 (2.7%)	66	N/A
POLAR ACS (EuroPCR 2014)	ACS	12 mo	100	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	-	-	100	0 (0%)	16	0 (0%)
Kajiya et al (EIJ 2013)	STEMI	3 mo	11	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	-	-	-	-	11	0 (0%)
Diletti et al, BVS STEMI (EHJ 2014)	STEMI	1 mo	49	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	_	-	-	-	49	0 (0%)
Kocka et al, PRAGUE-19 (EHJ 2014)	STEMI	4 mo	41	0 (0%)	1 (2.4%)	1 (2.4%)	1 (2.4%)	-	-	-	-	41	1 (2.4%
Wiebe et al (CRC 2014)	STEMI	6 mo	25	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	-	-	-	-	25	0 (0%)
lelasi et al, RAI registry (EIJ in press)	STEMI	6 mo	74	0 (0%)	1 (1.4%)	1 (1.4%)	1 (1.4%)	-	-	-	-	74	1 (1.4%
Weighted average excluding the GHOST-EU registry	Average 10.6 m	e F/U: onths	3,120	0.06%	0.48%	0.54%	0.89%	1,171	0.68%	410	1.71%	299	0.67%
Capodanno et al, GHOST (EIJ 2014)	All-comers	6 mo	1,189	5 (0.4%)	11 (0.9%)	16 (1.3%)	23 (2.1%)	626	9 (1.4%)	563	14 (2.5%)	192	4 (2.1%
Weighted average including the GHOST-EU registry	Average F/U: 10.3 months		4,309	0.16%	0.60%	0.76%	1.22%	1,797	0.94%	973	2.16%	491	1.22%
*ACS: acute coronary syndrome; SAP: stabl	e/silent angin	a pectoris; S	ST: scaffol	d thrombosis; ar Interventio	; STEMI: ST-se	gment elevat	ion myocardia	I infarc	tion. CRC: (Clinical	Research in C	ardiology;	

Table 1. The rate of ST in individual populations*.

**Corresponding author: Thoraxcenter, Room Ee 218, 's-Gravendijkwal 230, 3015 CE Rotterdam, The Netherlands. E-mail: y.onuma@erasmusmc.nl*

DOI: 10.4244/EIJY14M09_08

after procedure) thrombosis (0.06%) and 15 had subacute after one day (≤ 1 month after procedure) thrombosis (0.48%). Premature discontinuation of dual antiplatelet therapy (DAPT) or resistance to clopidogrel at the time of scaffold thrombosis was documented in 29.4% patients (5/17). The rate of scaffold thrombosis when the GHOST-EU registry is added to the series is tabulated at the bottom of **Table 1**. Potential aetiological causes of scaffold thrombosis could be: 1) suboptimal implantation resulting in underexpansion/acute incomplete strut apposition²⁻⁴ or acute disruption of struts⁵; 2) platelet activation due to low shear stress created by the relatively thick strut⁶; 3) delayed tissue coverage in an overlapped segment⁷⁻⁹; 4) discontinuation of DAPT or resistance to DAPT⁹.

In the first randomised comparison of ABSORB II¹⁰, the rate of definite scaffold/stent thrombosis was 0.6% in Absorb (one acute and one subacute case) and 0% in XIENCE (p=1.0), and the rate of definite/probable scaffold/stent thrombosis was 0.9% in Absorb and 0% in XIENCE (p=0.55)¹⁰.

Further randomised studies may or may not confirm these scaffold thrombosis rates in the future^{11,12}.

Conflict of interest statement

The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

References

1. Capodanno D, Gori T, Nef H, Latib A, Mehilli J, Lesiak M, Caramanno G, Naber C, Di Mario C, Colombo A, Capranzano P, Wiebe J, Araszkiewicz A, Geraci S, Pyxaras S, Mattesini A, Naganuma T, Munzel T, Tamburino C. Percutaneous coronary intervention with everolimus-eluting bioresorbable vascular scaffolds in routine clinical practice: early and midterm outcomes from the European multicentre GHOST-EU registry. *EuroIntervention.* 2014 Jul 18. [Epub ahead of print].

2. Kolandaivelu K, Swaminathan R, Gibson WJ, Kolachalama VB, Nguyen-Ehrenreich KL, Giddings VL, Coleman L, Wong GK, Edelman ER. Stent thrombogenicity early in high-risk interventional settings is driven by stent design and deployment and protected by polymer-drug coatings. *Circulation*. 2011;123:1400-9.

3. Guagliumi G, Sirbu V, Musumeci G, Gerber R, Biondi-Zoccai G, Ikejima H, Ladich E, Lortkipanidze N, Matiashvili A, Valsecchi O, Virmani R, Stone GW. Examination of the in vivo mechanisms of late drug-eluting stent thrombosis: findings from optical coherence tomography and intravascular ultrasound imaging. *JACC Cardiovasc Interv.* 2012;5:12-20.

4. Siqueira DA, Abizaid AA, Costa Jde R, Feres F, Mattos LA, Staico R, Abizaid AA, Tanajura LF, Chaves A, Centemero M, Sousa AG, Sousa JE. Late incomplete apposition after drug-eluting stent implantation: incidence and potential for adverse clinical outcomes. *Eur Heart J.* 2007;28:1304-9. 5. Ormiston JA, De Vroey F, Serruys PW, Webster MW. Bioresorbable polymeric vascular scaffolds: a cautionary tale. *Circ Cardiovasc Interv.* 2011;4: 535-8.

6. Bourantas CV, Papafaklis MI, Lakkas L, Sakellarios A, Onuma Y, Zhang YJ, Muramatsu T, Diletti R, Bizopoulos P, Kalatzis F, Naka KK, Fotiadis DI, Wang J, Garcia Garcia HM, Kimura T, Michalis LK, Serruys PW. Fusion of optical coherence tomographic and angiographic data for more accurate evaluation of the endothelial shear stress patterns and neointimal distribution after bioresorbable scaffold implantation: comparison with intravascular ultrasound-derived reconstructions. *Int J Cardiovasc Imaging*. 2014;30:485-94.

7. Farooq V, Onuma Y, Radu M, Okamura T, Gomez-Lara J, Brugaletta S, Gogas BD, van Geuns RJ, Regar E, Schultz C, Windecker S, Lefevre T, Brueren BR, Powers J, Perkins LL, Rapoza RJ, Virmani R, Garcia-Garcia HM, Serruys PW. Optical coherence tomography (OCT) of overlapping bioresorbable scaffolds: from benchwork to clinical application. *EuroIntervention*. 2011;7:386-99.

8. Farooq V, Serruys PW, Heo JH, Gogas BD, Onuma Y, Perkins LE, Diletti R, Radu MD, Raber L, Bourantas CV, Zhang Y, van Remortel E, Pawar R, Rapoza RJ, Powers JC, van Beusekom HM, Garcia-Garcia HM, Virmani R. Intracoronary optical coherence tomography and histology of overlapping everolimus-eluting bioresorbable vascular scaffolds in a porcine coronary artery model: the potential implications for clinical practice. *JACC Cardiovasc Interv.* 2013;6:523-32.

9. Ishibashi Y, Onuma Y, Muramatsu T, Nakatani S, Iqbal J, Garcia-Garcia HM, Bartorelli AL, Whitbourn R, Abizaid A, Serruys PW. Lessons learned from acute and late scaffold failures in the ABSORB EXTEND trial. *EuroIntervention*. 2014;10:449-57.

10. Serruys PW, Chevalier B, Dudek D, Cequier A, Carrié D, Iniguez A, Dominici M, Van der Schaaf R, Haude M, Wasungu L, Veldhof S, Peng L, Staehr P, Grundeken M, Ishibashi Y, Garcia-Garcia HM, Onuma Y. A bioresorbable everolimus-eluting scaffold versus a metallic everolimus-eluting stent for ischaemic heart disease caused by de-novo native coronary artery lesions (ABSORB II): an interim 1-year analysis of clinical and procedural secondary outcomes. *Lancet.* 2015;385:43-54.

11. Woudstra P, Grundeken MJ, Kraak RP, Hassell ME, Arkenbout EK, Baan J Jr, Vis MM, Koch KT, Tijssen JG, Piek JJ, de Winter RJ, Henriques JP, Wykrzykowska JJ. Amsterdam InvestigatorinitiateD Absorb strategy all-comers trial (AIDA trial): a clinical evaluation comparing the efficacy and performance of ABSORB everolimus-eluting bioresorbable vascular scaffold strategy vs the XIENCE family (XIENCE PRIME or XIENCE Xpedition) everolimus-eluting coronary stent strategy in the treatment of coronary lesions in consecutive all-comers: rationale and study design. *Am Heart J.* 2014;167:133-40.

12. Stone GW. The ABSORB III + IV Clinical Trial Program. EuroPCR 2014 Paris, May 2014.