Independent impact of extent of coronary artery disease and percutaneous revascularisation on 30-day and one-year mortality after TAVI: a meta-analysis of adjusted observational results



Fabrizio D'Ascenzo^{1*}, MD; Roberto Verardi¹, MD; Massimiliano Visconti¹, MD; Federico Conrotto¹, MD; Paolo Scacciatella¹, MD; Artur Dziewierz², MD; Giulio G. Stefanini³, MD; Jean-Michel Paradis^{4,5}, MD; Pierluigi Omede¹, MD; Susheel Kodali⁴, MD; Maurizio D'Amico¹, MD; Mauro Rinaldi⁶, MD; Stefano Salizzoni⁶, MD

1. Division of Cardiology, Department of Internal Medicine, Città della Salute e della Scienza, Turin, Italy; 2. Second Department of Cardiology, Jagiellonian University Medical College, Krakow, Poland; 3. Department of Biomedical Sciences, Humanitas University, Pieve Emanuele, Milan, Italy; 4. Cardiovascular Research Foundation, New York, NY, USA; 5. Quebec Heart and Lung Institute, Quebec, Canada; 6. Division of Cardiac Surgery, Città della Salute e della Scienza, Turin, Italy

This paper also includes supplementary data published online at: http://www.pcronline.com/eurointervention/144th_issue/212

KEYWORDS

 coronary artery disease

- drug-eluting stent
- myocardial infarction
- prior PCI
- TAVI

Abstract

Aims: The impact of the severity of coronary artery disease (CAD) and percutaneous coronary interventions (PCI) on outcomes after transcatheter aortic valve implantation (TAVI) remains a matter of debate. We therefore performed a meta-analysis to evaluate the impact of CAD, of its severity and of PCI on mortality after TAVI.

Methods and results: All published studies evaluating the impact of CAD on 30-day and one-year mortality after TAVI at multivariable analysis were included. The primary endpoint was the impact of CAD severity (assessed with the SYNTAX score [SS]) on one-year mortality by pooling with logarithmic transformation results of multivariable adjusted effect estimates from each individual study. Secondary endpoints were the impact of the presence of CAD on 30-day and one-year mortality at multivariable analysis and the impact of residual SYNTAX score (rSS) on one-year mortality at multivariable analysis. A total of 8,334 patients with a median age of 81.3 (81-82) years and STS score of 6.2% (IQR 6.0-6.7) from 13 studies were included. Patients with an SS >22 showed higher one-year mortality at multivariable analysis (OR 1.71 [1.24-2.36]). The presence of CAD did not impact on 30-day and one-year mortality at multivariable analysis (respectively, OR 1.57 [0.71-3.46] and OR 1.25 [0.74-2.11]). Regarding PCI, patients with rSS <8 showed lower one-year mortality (OR 0.34 [0.012-0.93]).

Conclusions: The risk of death after TAVI is closely related to the complexity of CAD. Patients with an SS >22 present higher mortality. SS may represent a useful tool to select patients undergoing TAVI who could benefit from coronary revascularisation. In this regard, reaching an rSS <8 reduced one-year mortality. Randomised controlled trials are needed to confirm these results.

*Corresponding author: Division of Cardiology, Department of Internal Medicine, Città della Salute e della Scienza, Corso Bramante n 88, 10126 Turin, Italy. E-mail: fabrizio.dascenzo@gmail.com

Abbreviations

AS	aortic stenosis
CABG	coronary artery bypass graft
CAD	coronary artery disease
FFR	fractional flow reserve
iFR	instantaneous wave-free ratio
OR	odds ratio
PCI	percutaneous coronary intervention
rSS	residual SYNTAX score
SAVR	surgical aortic valve replacement
SS	SYNTAX score
TAVI	transcatheter aortic valve implantation

Introduction

Coronary artery disease (CAD) and aortic valve stenosis (AS) are overlapping clinical conditions with a similar pathogenesis¹⁻³. Actually, in both of these cases arterial hypertension, increasing age, hypercholesterolaemia, diabetes mellitus and chronic kidney disease lead, as a common pathway, to subendothelial accumulation of oxidised low-density lipoproteins and inflammation, with lymphocytes and macrophages being responsible for disease progression¹⁻⁴.

As a clinical counterpart, about 50% of patients with severe AS undergoing surgical aortic valve replacement (SAVR) or transcatheter aortic valve implantation (TAVI) have concomitant CAD, which increases the risk for periprocedural complications and impairs long-term outcomes after SAVR⁵. Moreover, assessment of the relevance of CAD in AS patients is often difficult due to the presence of symptoms related to both conditions and to the limitation of functional assessment with fractional flow reserve (FFR), while the role of instantaneous wave-free ratio (iFR) is still under investigation^{6.7}.

In a meta-analysis published in 2014 by our group⁸, we did not show a relationship between the presence of CAD and adverse outcomes after TAVI. However, the included evidence lacked evaluation of (i) the extent and complexity of CAD, (ii) the potential impact of percutaneous coronary interventions (PCI) before TAVI⁹⁻¹³, and (iii) multivariable results.

Therefore, we performed a meta-analysis to evaluate the impact of CAD, of its severity and of PCI on mortality after TAVI.

Methods

Current guidelines, including the recent Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) amendment to the Quality of Reporting of Meta-analyses (QUOROM) statement, and recommendations from The Cochrane Collaboration and Meta-analysis Of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (MOOSE)¹⁴⁻¹⁸ were used for this meta-analysis.

SEARCH STRATEGY AND STUDY SELECTION

Possible articles for inclusion were found using established search methods¹⁹ searching for the terms "TAVI" and "coronary artery disease" or "revascularisation" or "Syntax score (SS)" **(Supplementary Appendix 1)**. Inclusion criteria were: (i) investigating more than 50

patients with CAD undergoing TAVI, (ii) reporting an independent predictive value of CAD or of PCI, (iii) with at least six months of follow-up (all criteria had to be satisfied). Exclusion criteria were any of: (i) non-human study, (ii) duplicate reporting (in which case the manuscript reporting the largest sample of patients was selected).

OUTCOME SELECTION

The primary endpoint was the impact of CAD severity (assessed with the SYNTAX score [SS]) on one-year mortality by pooling with logarithmic transformation results of multivariable adjusted effect estimates from each individual study.

Secondary endpoints were the following:

- Impact of the presence of CAD on 30-day and one-year mortality at multivariable and univariate analysis.
- Impact of percutaneous revascularisation (evaluated with residual SYNTAX score [rSS]) on one-year mortality at multivariable analysis.
- Impact of CAD severity assessed with SS on 30-day and oneyear mortality at univariate analysis.

INTERNAL VALIDITY AND QUALITY APPRAISAL

Three unblinded independent reviewers (R. Veradi, M. Visconti, F. D'Ascenzo) evaluated the quality of the selected studies on pre-specified data collection forms using modified MOOSE criteria to take into account the specific features of the included studies¹⁶. The independent reviewers separately appraised study design, setting, data source, and statistical methods for multivariable analysis, as well as the risk of analytical, selection, adjudication, detection, and attrition bias (expressed as a low, moderate, or high risk of bias).

DATA ANALYSIS

Continuous variables are reported as mean (standard deviation) or median (range). Categorical variables are expressed as n/N (%). Statistical pooling was performed according to a random-effects model with generic inverse-variance weighting, computing risk estimates with 95% confidence intervals, using RevMan 5 (The Cochrane Collaboration, The Nordic Cochrane Centre, Copenhagen, Denmark). A pooled analysis of adjusted odds ratios, relative risks or hazard ratios derived from each single study was performed after logarithmic transformation. We assumed similarity between the odds ratio and other relative measures such as relative risks and hazard ratios because cardiovascular events and deaths were rare events. Graphical inspection of funnel plots was used to assess for study bias. Standard hypothesis testing was set at the two-tailed 0.05 level.

Results

The search strategy yielded 433 studies; after initial screening, 30 studies were selected and 403 excluded. After full-text article assessment, 13 studies with 8,334 patients were included²⁰⁻³², while 17 studies were excluded (Figure 1, Supplementary Appendix 2). Moreover, we were able to provide previously unpublished multivariable analysis of the impact of rSS in TAVI patients using a patient-level database of the study from Paradis et al³¹.

Editorial, see page 1160



Figure 1. PRISMA 2009 flow diagram. Review profile.

BASELINE FEATURES

Median age was 81.3 (IQR 81.0-82.0) years, 54.0% (IQR 49.0-56.0%) of patients were female, with a relevant burden of cardiovascular risk factors and previous coronary revascularisation (**Table 1**), leading to a median EuroSCORE I of 21.2 (IQR 18.3-23.3) and STS score of 6.2% (IQR 6.0-6.7). Patients with CAD were more frequently male with a more relevant burden of cardiovascular risk factors.

Table 1. Baseline features of included patients.

	Overall patients (8,334)	Patients with CAD (3,994)	Patients without CAD (4,192)
Age, years	81.3 (81.0-82.0)	81.4 (80.6-82.3)	81.8 (80.9-82.4)
Female patients, %	54.0 (49.0-56.0)	42.3 (38.9-48.3)	62.1 (59.8-65.0)
Diabetes mellitus, %	32.0 (26.2-38.0)	30.3 (29.5-36.2)	25.8 (24.0-33.3)
Hypertension, %	81.0 (76.5-87.5)	84.5 (76.1-89.0)	74.3 (67.8-77.8)
Hyperlipidaemia, %	71.0 (64.0-78.0)	76.3 (72.7-83.9)	48.9 (43.4-60.8)
Previous stroke, %	13.6 (11.0-17.2)	14.8 (10.1-17.6)	11.2 (7.2-15.2)
CKD, %	61.0 (23.4-66.0)	49.7 (30.1-64.9)	35.1 (11.1-53.1)
Previous MI, %	22.6 (16.7-26.3)	-	-
Previous PCI, %	28.5 (20.5-37.1)	-	-
Previous CABG, %	19.0 (16.4-24.5)	-	-
EuroSCORE I	21.2 (18.3-23.3)	26.1 (23.6-26.8)	20.3 (19.6-21.5)
STS score	6.2 (6.0-6.7)	7.4 (6.9-11.6)	6.0 (5.9-8.5)
EF, %	52.0 (51.8-53.7)	50.9 (48.4-51.9)	53.7 (53.2-53.8)

CABG: coronary artery bypass graft surgery; CAD: coronary artery disease; CKD: chronic kidney disease; EF: ejection fraction of left ventricle; EuroSCORE: European System for Cardiac Operative Risk Evaluation; MI: myocardial infarction; PCI: percutaneous coronary intervention; STS: Society of Thoracic Surgeons

ANGIOGRAPHIC FEATURES

Median baseline SS was 14.2 (IQR 10.1-21.4), with a disease of the left main (LM) coronary artery in 11.3% (IQR 7.2-22.2%) of the patients. PCI was performed before TAVI in most of the cases (25.0%, IQR 17.0-87.8%) **(Table 2)**.

Table 2. Angiographic features and procedural features.

	Overall patients (8,334)	Patients with CAD (3,994)	Patients without CAD (4,192)							
Access for TAVI, %										
Transfemoral/ trans-subclavian	femoral/ -subclavian 80.5 (79.1-89.5) 80.4 (68.6-96.6)		81.8 (67.4-89.2)							
Transapical	20.5 (4.0-21.5)	13.5 (1.2-29.1)	10.5 (1.7-32.4)							
CoreValve, %	57.1 (27.0-64.0)	57.2 (13.0-77.9)	56.9 (13.9-82.7)							
SAPIEN valve, %	40.5 (18.7-61.0)	35.4 (12.1-73.7)	36.4 (9.1-70.5)							
Baseline SYNTAX	-	14.2 (10.1-21.4)	-							
Coronary involvement, %										
LM	-	11.3 (7.2-22.2)	-							
LAD	_	50.8 (25.1-63.3)	_							
Сх	-	16.9 (8.3-35.2)	-							
RCA	_	30.5 (8.4-49.5)	_							
PCI, %										
Before TAVI	_	25.0 (17.0-87.8)	_							
During TAVI	_	1.7 (0.0-17.0)	-							
Planned after TAVI	-	0.0 (0.0-0.0)	-							
CAD: coronary artery dise LM: left main artery; PCI TAVI: transcatheter aorti	CAD: coronary artery disease; Cx: circumflex artery; LAD: left anterior descending artery; LM: left main artery; PCI: percutaneous coronary intervention; RCA: right coronary artery; TAVI: transcatheter antic value implantation									

OUTCOMES ACCORDING TO SYNTAX SCORE

Patients with an SS >22 showed higher one-year mortality at multivariable analysis (OR 1.71 [1.24-2.36]) (Figure 2). At univariate analysis, patients with an SS <22 showed a trend towards lower mortality after 30 days and 12.5 (12-24) months (respectively, OR 0.67 [0.41-1.10] and OR 0.78 [0.51-1.20]) (Figure 3).

OUTCOMES ACCORDING TO THE PRESENCE OF CAD

As reported in **Figure 4**, the presence of CAD did not impact on 30-day all-cause death both at univariate (OR 1.93 [0.84-4.28]) and at multivariable analysis (OR 1.57 [0.71-3.46]). After 12.5 (12-24) months, CAD showed an impact on mortality rate at univariate analysis (OR 1.39 [1.02-1.88]), but this result was not confirmed at multivariable analysis (OR 1.25 [0.74-2.11] (**Figure 5**).

IMPACT OF CORONARY REVASCULARISATION (rSS)

Patients with a residual SS < 8 showed lower one-year mortality (OR 0.34 [0.012-0.93]) (Figure 6, Supplementary Appendix 2).

Study or Subgroup	log[Odds ratio]	SE	Weight	Odds ratio IV, Fixed, 95% Cl	Odds ratio IV, Fixed, 95% Cl	
Shamekhi et al, 2017 Stefanini et al, 2014 Witberg et al, 2017	0.4055 0.5188 0.7372	0.2606 0.2963 0.3093	40.3% 31.1% 28.6%	1.50 [0.90, 2.50] 1.68 [0.94, 3.00] 2.09 [1.14, 3.83]		
Total (95% CI)			100.0%	1.71 [1.24, 2.36]	◆	
Heterogeneity: Chi ² =0.68 Test for overall effect: Z=	8, df=2 (<i>p</i> =0.71); l²=0 =3.24 (<i>p</i> =0.001))%		0	01 0.1 1 10 Favours [SS>22] Favours [SS<22]	100

Figure 2. Impact of severity of CAD stratified with SS on one-year mortality at multivariable analysis.

Study or Subgroup	SS< Events	<22 Total	SS> Events	>22 Total	Weight	Odds ratio IV, Random, 95% Cl	Odds ratio IV, Random, 95% Cl
Khawaia et al. 2014	9	173	1	16	5.3%	0.82 [0.10, 6.94]	
Paradis et al, 2017	9	129	14	166	31.7%	0.81 [0.34, 1.95]	_
Shamekhi et al, 2017	15	313	12	124	38.6%	0.47 [0.21, 1.03]	
Stefanini et al, 2014	14	207	6	80	24.4%	0.89 [0.33, 2.42]	
Witberg et al, 2017	0	0	0	0		Not estimable	
Total (95% Cl)		822		386	100.0%	0.67 [0.41, 1.10]	-
Total events	47		33				
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =0.00	; Chi ² =1.	33, df=3	(<i>p</i> =0.72);	l ² =0%		0	
Test for overall effect: Z=	1.58 (<i>p</i> =0).12)				·	Favours [SS<22] Favours [SS>22]
	SS<	<22	SS>	22		Odds ratio	Odds ratio
Study or Subgroup	SS< Events	<22 Total	SS> Events	22 Total	Weight	Odds ratio IV, Random, 95% Cl	Odds ratio IV, Random, 95% Cl
Study or Subgroup Khawaja et al, 2014	SS< Events 40	< 22 Total	SS> Events 6	• 22 Total 16	Weight 10.8%	Odds ratio IV, Random, 95% Cl 0.50 [0.17, 1.46]	Odds ratio IV, Random, 95% Cl
Study or Subgroup Khawaja et al, 2014 Paradis et al, 2017	SS< Events 40 29	< 22 Total 173 129	SS> Events 6 22	22 Total 16 166	Weight 10.8% 20.0%	Odds ratio IV, Random, 95% Cl 0.50 [0.17, 1.46] 1.90 [1.03, 3.49]	Odds ratio IV, Random, 95% Cl
Study or Subgroup Khawaja et al, 2014 Paradis et al, 2017 Shamekhi et al, 2017	SS < Events 40 29 109	22 Total 173 129 313	SS> Events 6 22 58	22 Total 16 166 124	Weight 10.8% 20.0% 25.3%	Odds ratio IV, Random, 95% CI 0.50 [0.17, 1.46] 1.90 [1.03, 3.49] 0.61 [0.40, 0.93]	Odds ratio IV, Random, 95% Cl
Study or Subgroup Khawaja et al, 2014 Paradis et al, 2017 Shamekhi et al, 2017 Stefanini et al, 2014	SS < Events 40 29 109 35	22 Total 173 129 313 207	SS> Events 6 22 58 18	22 Total 16 166 124 80	Weight 10.8% 20.0% 25.3% 19.3%	Odds ratio IV, Random, 95% CI 0.50 [0.17, 1.46] 1.90 [1.03, 3.49] 0.61 [0.40, 0.93] 0.70 [0.37, 1.33]	Odds ratio IV, Random, 95% Cl
Study or Subgroup Khawaja et al, 2014 Paradis et al, 2017 Shamekhi et al, 2017 Stefanini et al, 2014 Witberg et al, 2017	SS < Events 40 29 109 35 85	22 Total 173 129 313 207 331	SS> Events 6 22 58 18 42	22 Total 16 166 124 80 122	Weight 10.8% 20.0% 25.3% 19.3% 24.6%	Odds ratio IV, Random, 95% Cl 0.50 [0.17, 1.46] 1.90 [1.03, 3.49] 0.61 [0.40, 0.93] 0.70 [0.37, 1.33] 0.66 [0.42, 1.03]	Odds ratio IV, Random, 95% Cl
Study or Subgroup Khawaja et al, 2014 Paradis et al, 2017 Shamekhi et al, 2017 Stefanini et al, 2014 Witberg et al, 2017 Total (95% CI)	SS < Events 40 29 109 35 85	22 Total 173 129 313 207 331 1,153	\$\$> Events 6 22 58 18 42	22 Total 16 166 124 80 122 508	Weight 10.8% 20.0% 25.3% 19.3% 24.6% 100.0%	Odds ratio IV, Random, 95% Cl 0.50 [0.17, 1.46] 1.90 [1.03, 3.49] 0.61 [0.40, 0.93] 0.70 [0.37, 1.33] 0.66 [0.42, 1.03] 0.78 [0.51, 1.20]	Odds ratio IV, Random, 95% CI
Study or Subgroup Khawaja et al, 2014 Paradis et al, 2017 Shamekhi et al, 2017 Stefanini et al, 2014 Witberg et al, 2017 Total (95% CI) Total events	\$\$< Events 40 29 109 35 85 85 298	222 Total 173 129 313 207 331 1,153	\$\$> Events 6 22 58 18 42 146	22 Total 16 166 124 80 122 508	Weight 10.8% 20.0% 25.3% 19.3% 24.6% 100.0%	Odds ratio IV, Random, 95% Cl 0.50 [0.17, 1.46] 1.90 [1.03, 3.49] 0.61 [0.40, 0.93] 0.70 [0.37, 1.33] 0.66 [0.42, 1.03] 0.78 [0.51, 1.20]	Odds ratio IV, Random, 95% CI
Study or Subgroup Khawaja et al, 2014 Paradis et al, 2017 Shamekhi et al, 2017 Stefanini et al, 2017 Witberg et al, 2017 Total (95% CI) Total events Heterogeneity: Tau ² =0.14	SS< Events 40 29 109 35 85 298 ; Chi ² =10	222 Total 173 129 313 207 331 1,153 .76, df=4	SS> Events 6 22 58 18 42 146 (p=0.03):	22 Total 16 166 124 80 122 508	Weight 10.8% 20.0% 25.3% 19.3% 24.6% 100.0%	Odds ratio IV, Random, 95% Cl 0.50 [0.17, 1.46] 1.90 [1.03, 3.49] 0.61 [0.40, 0.93] 0.70 [0.37, 1.33] 0.66 [0.42, 1.03] 0.78 [0.51, 1.20]	Odds ratio IV, Random, 95% CI

Figure 3. Impact of the severity of CAD stratified with SS on 30-day (above) and one-year (below) mortality at univariate analysis.

Discussion

In this paper, we investigated the impact of (i) the presence of CAD at multivariable analysis, (ii) its anatomical complexity (assessed by SS), and (iii) PCI at multivariable analysis assessed with rSS, on 30-day and one-year mortality in patients undergoing TAVI.

The main results are the following:

- A) Patients with an SS >22 had increased one-year mortality rates both at univariate and at multivariable analysis.
- B) The presence of CAD defined as the presence of a stenosis >70% of an epicardial vessel or >50% of the LM - did not affect prognosis.
- C) PCI with an rSS less than 8 reduced the one-year risk of death after TAVI.

Due to common pathophysiological mechanisms, the prevalence of CAD is high in patients with degenerative aortic disease. Consequently, management of CAD in patients who are candidates for interventional treatment of severe AS represents a core challenge for cardiac surgeons and cardiologists.

Studies performed in the 1980s and 1990s confirmed reduced rates of short- and long-term mortality in patients treated with combined CABG and SAVR^{33,34}. This benefit is matched by longer duration and greater complexity of surgery, associated with an increased risk of mortality over isolated SAVR^{35,36}. Current guide-lines recommend combined surgery in any patient with a primary indication for aortic valve surgery presenting with coronary stenosis >70% in a major epicardial vessel. However, no randomised clinical trial (RCT) is available on this topic and the level of evidence for this recommendation is C³⁷.

Over the last 10 years, TAVI has emerged as a new therapeutic option for patients with severe AS and high to intermediate surgical risk³⁸. Considering the augmented frailty of TAVI candidates with CAD, due to age and comorbidities, the risk-benefit ratio of PCI in this population is of particular interest, and no clear evidence-based

Study or Subgroup	AS with Events	r CAD Total	AS witho Events	out CAD Total	Weight	Odds ratio IV, Random, 95% Cl	Odds ratio IV, Random, 95% Cl
Dewey et al, 2010 Franzone et al, 2017 Huczek et al, 2017 Ussia et al, 2013	11 11 62 15	84 248 462 251	1 10 22 24	87 248 434 408	10.6% 26.1% 33.2% 30.2%	12.96 [1.63, 102.77] 1.10 [0.46, 2.65] 2.90 [1.75, 4.81] 1.02 [0.52, 1.98]	
Total (95% CI) Total events Heterogeneity: Tau ² =0.42 Test for overall effect: Z=	99 ; Chi²=10 1.62 (<i>p</i> =0	1,045 0.87, df=3 0.10)	57 3 (<i>p</i> =0.01);	1,177 1²=72%	100.0%	1.93 [0.87, 4.24]	0.01 0.1 1 10 100 AS without CAD AS with CAD

Study or Subgroup	log[Odds ratio]	SE	Weight	Odds ratio IV, Random, 95% Cl	Odds ratio IV, Random, 95% Cl
Dewey et al, 2010	2.3125	0.8013	15.4%	10.10 [2.10, 48.57]	
Franzone et al, 2017	0.1054	0.4535	26.3%	0.90 [0.37, 2.19]	
Huczek et al, 2017	0.5539	0.2675	33.6%	1.74 [1.03, 2.94]	
Ussia et al, 2013	0.2614	0.4982	24.6%	0.77 [0.29, 2.04]	
Total (95% CI)			100.0%	1.57 [0.71, 3.46]	-
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =0.41;	Chi²=9.03, df=3 (<i>p</i> =0.0	C	0.1 0.1 1 10 100		
Test for overall effect: Z=1.	12 (<i>p</i> =0.26)		AS without CAD AS with CAD		

Figure 4. Impact of the presence of CAD on 30-day mortality at univariate (above) and multivariable analysis (below).

Study or Subgroup	AS wit Events	h CAD Total	AS with Events	out CAD Total	Weight	Odds ratio IV, Random, 95% C	Odds ratio Cl IV, Random, 95% Cl
Dewey et al, 2010	30	84	16	87	13.1%	2.47 [1.22, 4.98]	
Franzone et al, 2017	41	248	31	248	19.8%	1.39 [0.84, 2.29]	+
Mancio et al, 2015	17	46	9	45	8.4%	2.34 [0.91, 6.03]	
Snow et al, 2015	246	1,167	230	1,387	36.2%	1.34 [1.10, 1.64]	
Ussia et al, 2013	35	251	65	408	22.5%	0.86 [0.55, 1.33]	
Total (95% CI)		1,796		2,175	100.0%	1.39 [1.02, 1.88]	◆
Total events	369		3 51				
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =0.0	6; Chi²=8	.17, df=4	(<i>p</i> =0.09);	l ² =51%			0.01 0.1 1 10 100
Test for overall effect: Z=	=2.09 (<i>p</i> =	0.04)					AS without CAD AS with CAD

Study or Subgroup	log[Odds ratio]	SE	Weight	Odds ratio IV, Random, 95% Cl	Odds ratio IV, Random, 95% Cl
Dewey et al, 2010 Franzone et al, 2017 Mancio et al, 2015 Snow et al, 2015 Ussia et al, 2013	3.0106 0.3147 0.9555 0.1655 0.3011	1.1111 0.27 0.4389 0.1053 0.3139	4.9% 24.4% 17.3% 30.9% 22.4%	20.30 [2.30, 179.17] 0.73 (0.43, 1.24] 2.60 [1.10, 6.15] 1.18 (0.96, 1.45] 0.74 (0.40, 1.37]	
Total (95% CI)			100.0%	1.25 [0.74, 2.11]	•
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =0.22; Test for overall effect: Z=0	; Chi²=14.97, df=4 (<i>p</i> =0).82 (<i>p</i> =0.41)	.005); I²=73%	2		0.05 0.2 1 5 20 AS without CAD AS with CAD

Figure 5. Impact of the presence of CAD on one-year mortality at univariate (above) and multivariable analysis (below).

recommendation is available as yet. In a meta-analysis published by our group in 2014, we concluded that CAD (defined as >70%) does not affect midterm TAVI outcomes¹². Moreover, a recent meta-analysis of 15 studies by Sankaramangalam et al observed that coexisting CAD did not impact on 30-day outcomes, but significantly increased all-cause mortality at one year³⁹. However, the results of these meta-analyses did not include multivariable analysis with the well-known limitations related to potential confounders⁴⁰ and stratification of outcomes based on CAD severity. Our current multivariable results confirm that the presence

Study or Subgroup	log[Odds ratio]	SE	Weight	Odds ratio IV, Random, 95% Cl	Odds ratio IV, Random, 95% Cl
rSS <8 Dziewierz et al, 2017 Paradis et al, 2017 Witberg et al, 2017	-1.7148 -1.4024 -0.5447	0.4137 2.7159 0.2577	43.8% 3.4% 52.8%	0.18 [0.08, 0.40] 0.25 [0.00, 50.43] 0.58 [0.35, 0.96]	
Subtotal (95% CI) Heterogeneity: Tau ² =0.44; Test for overall effect: Z=2.	Chi²=5.80, df=2 (<i>p</i> =0.0 10 (<i>p</i> =0.04)	15); l²=66%	100.0%	0.34 [0.12, 0.93]	
Total (95% CI) Heterogeneity: Tau ² =0.44; Test for overall effect: Z=2. Test for subgroup difference	Chi²=5.80, df=2 (<i>p</i> =0.0 10 (<i>p</i> =0.04) es: Not applicable	15); I²=66%	100.0%	0.34 [0.12, 0.93]	0.02 0.1 1 10 50 PCI performed PCI not performed

Figure 6. Impact of PCI assessed with rSS on one-year mortality after TAVI. rSS: residual SYNTAX score

of CAD alone is not associated with worse prognosis in patients undergoing TAVI (Figure 2, Figure 3). However, clinical sense and experience in non-TAVI patients suggest that CAD should not be addressed as a single pathological entity, but distinctions should be made on the basis of the vessels involved, the extent of the disease, comorbidities and general condition.

The SYNTAX score represents a well-validated tool to assess the anatomical complexity of CAD41,42. More importantly, it has been demonstrated to predict long-term mortality in patients with multivessel disease^{42,43}. Our results show that higher values of SS are associated with worse short- and long-term outcomes (Figure 4, Figure 5). In this regard, the study from Khawaja et al showed this correlation to be matched by a progressive worsening of prognosis as SS values increased²³. Despite its known pitfalls - inter- and intra-observer variability, lack of clinical and functional information - SS was proved to be an efficient determinant of events. In a recent observational study of 402 patients, higher values of SYNTAX score II (SS-II) - which combines anatomical and clinical data - predicted worse one- and four-year outcomes⁴³. This result, although still in an observational setting, confirms the importance of stratification for CAD severity in this type of patient.

The role of PCI in patients with CAD undergoing TAVI is still unclear. Currently, PCI is recommended (IIa) in patients with a coronary artery diameter stenosis >70% in proximal segments⁴⁴; notwithstanding, only observational data are available. A recent systematic review of literature and meta-analysis of nine studies by Kotronias et al suggested no impact of PCI before TAVI on prognosis, with a possible association with increased risk of vascular complications and 30-day mortality¹³. However, neither stratification of outcomes by the severity of CAD nor multivariable analysis was available. In our meta-analysis, we assessed the impact of residual SS and PCI on prognosis through multivariable analysis. Our results show that PCI aiming for a low residual SYNTAX score improves prognosis after TAVI. These data are important for high-risk patients but should be viewed with particular interest for emerging indications of TAVI including intermediate-risk ones⁴⁵. Moreover, in the paper of Huczek et al²⁷, PCI without anatomic stratification did not reduce the risk of subsequent events, stressing the need for a tailored strategy for these patients.

Our findings should not be viewed as being in contradiction to previously published evidence, as they represent an effort to stratify the role of CAD in patients with AS undergoing TAVI, evaluating differential treatment effects according to disease severity. Patients with more severe CAD could be those who benefit the most from revascularisation. In such patients, the efficacy of PCI in reducing CAD burden (assessed by residual SS) was associated with improved prognosis. Finally, our results highlight the need for more extensive and consistent research on this relevant patient population. In this regard, the ongoing ACTIVATION RCT, addressing specifically the role of CAD and PCI in TAVI patients, will fill the current gaps and limitations in evidence⁴⁶.

Limitations

Our study shares the limitations of its primary sources, namely the observational design, the limited number of patients included in the studies, the lack of long follow-up and the discretional allocation of patients to the PCI or non-PCI groups, based on Heart Team consensus and not on pre-specified criteria. In six out of eight analyses, heterogeneity evaluated with I² was moderate and in the remaining two was high according to Cochrane recommendations: in all cases we used random effects models to approach such analytical challenges. Moreover, we had no access to individual data, but we pooled multivariable adjusted risk estimates from each single study. Finally, although recent evidence has demonstrated the safety and efficacy of TAVI in patients at intermediate surgical risk, our results refer only to high-risk patients.

Conclusions

The presence of CAD alone did not affect all-cause death in patients undergoing TAVI for severe AS. Patients with an SS

Impact on daily practice

The presence of CAD alone is not related to worse outcomes in patients undergoing TAVI for severe AS. SS may represent a useful tool to select patients undergoing TAVI who could benefit from revascularisation. PCI aiming for a residual SS <8 in such patients is associated with lower one-year mortality.

Acknowledgements

We thank Aaron Crowley for his assistance with statistical analysis.

Conflict of interest statement

The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

References

clinical topic.

1. Otto CM, Lind BK, Kitzman DW, Gersh BJ, Siscovick DS. Association of aortic-valve sclerosis with cardiovascular mortality and morbidity in the elderly. *N Engl J Med.* 1999;341:142-7.

2. Carabello BA, Paulus WJ. Aortic stenosis. *Lancet*. 2009;373:956-66.

3. Otto CM, Kuusisto J, Reichenbach DD, Gown AM, O'Brien KD. Characterization of the early lesion of 'degenerative' valvular aortic stenosis. Histological and immunohistochemical studies. *Circulation.* 1994;90:844-53.

4. Otto CM. Calcific aortic stenosis--time to look more closely at the valve. *N Engl J Med.* 2008;359:1395-8.

5. Beach JM, Mihaljevic T, Svensson LG, Rajeswaran J, Marwick T, Griffin B, Johnston DR, Sabik JF 3rd, Blackstone EH. Coronary artery disease and outcomes of aortic valve replacement for severe aortic stenosis. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2013;61:837-48.

6. Scarsini R, Pesarini G, Zivelonghi C, Piccoli A, Ferrero V, Lunardi M, Gottin L, Zanetti C, Faggian G, Ribichini F. Physiologic evaluation of coronary lesions using instantaneous wave-free ratio (iFR) in patients with severe aortic stenosis undergoing transcatheter aortic valve implantation. *EuroIntervention*. 2018;13: 1512-9.

7. Scarsini R, Pesarini G, Lunardi M, Piccoli A, Zanetti C, Cantone R, Bellamoli M, Ferrero V, Gottin L, Faggian G, Ribichini F. Observations from a real-time, iFR-FFR "hybrid approach" in patients with severe aortic stenosis and coronary artery disease undergoing TAVI. *Cardiovasc Revasc Med.* 2018; 19:355-9.

8. Conrotto F, D'Ascenzo F, Francesca G, Colaci C, Sacciatella P, Biondi-Zoccai G, Moretti C, D'Amico M, Gaita F, Marra S. Impact of access on TAVI procedural and midterm follow-up: a meta-analysis of 13 studies and 10,468 patients. *J Interv Cardiol.* 2014;27:500-8.

9. D'Ascenzo F, Benedetto U, Bianco M, Conrotto F, Moretti C, D'Onofrio A, Agrifoglio M, Colombo A, Ribichini F, Tarantini G, D'Amico M, Salizzoni S, Rinaldi M. Which is the best antiaggregant or anticoagulant therapy after TAVI? A propensity-matched analysis from the ITER registry. The management of DAPT after TAVI. *EuroIntervention*. 2017;13:e1392-400.

10. Conrotto F, D'Ascenzo F, Presbitero P, Humphries KH, Webb JG, O'Connor SA, Morice MC, Lefèvre T, Grasso C, Sbarra P, Taha S, Omedè P, Grosso Marra W, Salizzoni S, Moretti C, D'Amico M, Biondi-Zoccai G, Gaita F, Marra S. Effect of gender after transcatheter aortic valve implantation: a meta-analysis. *Ann Thorac Surg.* 2015;99:809-16.

11. Dewey TM, Brown DL, Herbert MA, Culica D, Smith CR, Leon MB, Svensson LG, Tuzcu M, Webb JG, Cribier A, Mack MJ. Effect of concomitant coronary artery disease on procedural and late outcomes of transcatheter aortic valve implantation. *Ann Thorac Surg.* 2010;89:758-67.

12. D'Ascenzo F, Conrotto F, Giordana F, Moretti C, D'Amico M, Salizzoni S, Omede P, La Torre M, Thomas M, Khawaja Z, Hildick-Smith D, Ussia G, Barbanti M, Biondi Zoccai G, Lupo M, Rinaldi M, Gaita F, Marra S. Mid-term prognostic value of coronary artery disease in patients undergoing transcatheter aortic valve implantation: a meta-analysis of adjusted observational results. *Int J Cardiol.* 2013;168:2528-32.

13. Kotronias RA, Kwok CS, George S, Capodanno D, Ludman PF, Townend JN, Doshi SN, Khogali SS, Généreux P, Herrmann HC, Mamas MA, Bagur R. Transcatheter Aortic Valve Implantation With or Without Percutaneous Coronary Artery Revascularization Strategy: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *J Am Heart Assoc.* 2017 Jun 27;6(6).

14. Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman GD; PRISMA Group. Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. *BMJ*. 2009;339:b2535.

15. Moher D, Cook DJ, Eastwood S, Olkin I, Rennie D, Stroup DF. Improving the quality of reports of meta-analyses of randomised controlled trials: the QUOROM statement. Quality of Reporting of Meta-analyses. *Lancet.* 1999;354:1896-900.

16. Stroup DF, Berlin JA, Morton SC, Olkin I, Williamson GD, Rennie D, Moher D, Becker BJ, Sipe TA, Thacker SB. Metaanalysis of observational studies in epidemiology: a proposal for reporting. Meta-analysis Of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (MOOSE) group. *JAMA*. 2000;283:2008-12.

17. Higgins JPT, Green S (editors). Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions Version 5.0.2 [updated September 2009]. The Cochrane Collaboration, 2009. Available from www.cochrane-handbook.org

18. Wilczynski NL, Haynes RB; Hedges Team. Developing optimal search strategies for detecting clinically sound prognostic studies in MEDLINE: an analytic survey. *BMC Med.* 2004;2:23.

19. McManus RJ, Wilson S, Delaney BC, Fitzmaurice DA, Hyde CJ, Tobias RS, Jowett S, Hobbs FD. Review of the usefulness

of contacting other experts when conducting a literature search for systematic reviews. *BMJ*. 1998;317:1562-3.

20. Ussia GP, Barbanti M, Colombo A, Tarantini G, Petronio AS, Ettori F, Ramondo A, Santoro G, Klugmann S, Bedogni F, Antoniucci D, Maisano F, Marzocchi A, Poli A, De Carlo M, Fiorina C, De Marco F, Napodano M, Violini R, Bortone AS, Tamburino C; CoreValve Italian Registry Investigators. Impact of coronary artery disease in elderly patients undergoing transcatheter aortic valve implantation: insight from the Italian CoreValve Registry. *Int J Cardiol.* 2013;167:943-50.

21. Wenaweser P, Pilgrim T, Guerios E, Stortecky S, Huber C, Khattab AA, Kadner A, Buellesfeld L, Gloekler S, Meier B, Carrel T, Windecker S. Impact of coronary artery disease and percutaneous coronary intervention on outcomes in patients with severe aortic stenosis undergoing transcatheter aortic valve implantation. *EuroIntervention*. 2011;7:541-8.

22. Dewey TM, Brown DL, Herbert MA, Culica D, Smith CR, Leon MB, Svensson LG, Tuzcu M, Webb JG, Cribier A, Mack MJ. Effect of concomitant coronary artery disease on procedural and late outcomes of transcatheter aortic valve implantation. *Ann Thorac Surg.* 2010;89:758-67.

23. Khawaja MZ, Asrress KN, Haran H, Arri S, Nadra I, Bolter K, Wilson K, Clack L, Hancock J, Young CP, Bapat V, Thomas M, Redwood S. The effect of coronary artery disease defined by quantitative coronary angiography and SYNTAX score upon outcome after transcatheter aortic valve implantation (TAVI) using the Edwards bioprosthesis. *EuroIntervention*. 2015;11:450-5.

24. Stefanini GG, Stortecky S, Cao D, Rat-Wirtzler J, O'Sullivan CJ, Gloekler S, Buellesfeld L, Khattab AA, Nietlispach F, Pilgrim T, Huber C, Carrel T, Meier B, Jüni P, Wenaweser P, Windecker S. Coronary artery disease severity and aortic stenosis: clinical outcomes according to SYNTAX score in patients undergoing transcatheter aortic valve implantation. *Eur Heart J.* 2014;35:2530-40.

25. Snow TM, Ludman P, Banya W, DeBelder M, MacCarthy PM, Davies SW, Di Mario C, Moat NE. Management of concomitant coronary artery disease in patients undergoing transcatheter aortic valve implantation: the United Kingdom TAVI Registry. *Int J Cardiol.* 2015;199:253-60.

26. Mancio J, Fontes-Carvalho R, Oliveira M, Caeiro D, Braga P, Bettencourt N, Ribeiro VG. Coronary Artery Disease and Symptomatic Severe Aortic Valve Stenosis: Clinical Outcomes after Transcatheter Aortic Valve Implantation. *Front Cardiovasc Med.* 2015;2:18.

27. Huczek Z, Zbroński K, Grodecki K, Scisło P, Rymuza B, Kochman J, Dąbrowski M, Witkowski A, Wojakowski W, Parma R, Ochała A, Grygier M, Olasińska-Wiśniewska A, Araszkiewicz A, Jagielak D, Ciećwierz D, Puchta D, Paczwa K, Filipiak KJ, Wilimski R, Zembala M, Opolski G. Concomitant coronary artery disease and its management in patients referred to transcatheter aortic valve implantation: Insights from the POL-TAVI Registry. *Catheter Cardiovasc Interv*. 2018;91:115-23.

28. Witberg G, Regev E, Chen S, Assali A, Barbash IM, Planer D, Vaknin-Assa H, Guetta V, Vukasinovic V, Orvin K, Danenberg HD,

Segev A, Kornowski R. The Prognostic Effects of Coronary Disease Severity and Completeness of Revascularization on Mortality in Patients Undergoing Transcatheter Aortic Valve Replacement. *JACC Cardiovasc Interv.* 2017;10:1428-35.

29. Dziewierz A, Tokarek T, Kleczynski P, Sorysz D, Bagienski M, Rzeszutko L, Dudek D. Impact of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and frailty on long-term outcomes and quality of life after transcatheter aortic valve implantation. *Aging Clin Exp Res.* 2018;30:1033-40.

30. Franzone A, Stortecky S, Räber L, Heg D, Yamaji K, Piccolo R, Asami M, Lanz J, Praz F, Koskinas K, Zanchin T, Wenaweser P, Valgimigli M, Jüni P, Pilgrim T, Windecker S. Effects of coronary artery disease in patients undergoing transcatheter aortic valve implantation: A study of age- and gender-matched cohorts. *Int J Cardiol.* 2017;243:150-5.

31. Paradis JM, White JM, Généreux P, Urena M, Doshi D, Nazif T, Hahn R, George I, Khalique O, Harjai K, Lasalle L, Labbé BM, DeLarochellière R, Doyle D, Dumont É, Mohammadi S, Leon MB, Rodés-Cabau J, Kodali S. Impact of Coronary Artery Disease Severity Assessed With the SYNTAX Score on Outcomes Following Transcatheter Aortic Valve Replacement. *J Am Heart Assoc.* 2017;6:e005070.

32. Shamekhi S, Stundl A, Weber M, Mellert F, Welz A, Grube E, Nickenig G, Werner N, Sinning JM. Impact of coronary artery disease in patients undergoing transfemoral transcatheter aortic valve implantation. *Int J Cardiol.* 2017;245:215-21.

33. Lund O, Nielsen TT, Pilegaard HK, Magnussen K, Knudsen MA. The influence of coronary artery disease and bypass grafting on early and late survival after valve replacement for aortic stenosis. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* 1990;100:327-37.

34. Mullany CJ, Elveback LR, Frye RL, Pluth JR, Edwards WD, Orszulak TA, Nassef LA Jr, Riner RE, Danielson GK. Coronary artery disease and its management: influence on survival in patients undergoing aortic valve replacement. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 1987;10: 66-72.

35. Edwards FH, Peterson ED, Coombs LP, DeLong ER, Jamieson WR, Shroyer ALW, Grover FL. Prediction of operative mortality after valve replacement surgery. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2001;37:885-92.

36. Craver JM, Weintraub WS, Jones EL, Guyton RA, Hatcher CR Jr. Predictors of mortality, complications, and length of stay in aortic valve replacement for aortic stenosis. *Circulation*. 1988;78:185-90.

37. Falk V, Baumgartner H, Bax JJ, De Bonis M, Hamm C, Holm PJ, Iung B, Lancellotti P, Lansac E, Muñoz DR, Rosenhek R, Sjögren J, Tornos Mas P, Vahanian A, Walther T, Wendler O, Windecker S, Zamorano JL; ESC Scientific Document Group. 2017 ESC/EACTS Guidelines for the management of valvular heart disease. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg.* 2017;5:616-64.

38. Makkar RR, Fontana GP, Jilaihawi H, Kapadia S, Pichard AD, Douglas PS, Thourani VH, Babaliaros VC, Webb JG, Herrmann HC, Bavaria JE, Kodali S, Brown DL, Bowers B, Dewey TM, Svensson LG, Tuzcu M, Moses JW, Williams MR, Siegel RJ, Akin JJ, Anderson WN, Pocock S, Smith CR, Leon MB; PARTNER Trial Investigators. Transcatheter aortic-valve replacement for inoperable severe aortic stenosis. *N Engl J Med.* 2012;366:1696-704.

39. Sankaramangalam K, Banerjee K, Kandregula K, Mohananey D, Parashar A, Jones BM, Jobanputra Y, Mick S, Krishnaswamy A, Svensson LG, Kapadia SR. Impact of Coronary Artery Disease on 30-Day and 1-Year Mortality in Patients Undergoing Transcatheter Aortic Valve Replacement: A Meta-Analysis. *J Am Heart Assoc.* 2017 Oct 11;6(10).

40. Biondi-Zoccai G, Agostoni P, Abbate A, D'Ascenzo F, Modena MG. Potential pitfalls of meta-analyses of observational studies in cardiovascular research. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2012;59: 292-3.

41. Sianos G, Morel MA, Kappetein AP, Morice MC, Colombo A, Dawkins K, van den Brand M, Van Dyck N, Russell ME, Mohr FW, Serruys PW. The SYNTAX Score: an angiographic tool grading the complexity of coronary artery disease. *EuroIntervention*. 2005; 1:219-27.

42. Serruys PW, Onuma Y, Garg S, Sarno G, van den Brand M, Kappetein AP, Van Dyck N, Mack M, Holmes D, Feldman T, Morice MC, Colombo A, Bass E, Leadley K, Dawkins KD, van Es GA, Morel MA, Mohr FW. Assessment of the SYNTAX score in the SYNTAX study. *EuroIntervention*. 2009;5:50-6.

43. Ryan N, Nombela-Franco L, Jiménez-Quevedo P, Biagioni C, Salinas P, Aldazábal A, Cerrato E, Gonzalo N, Del Trigo M, Núñez-Gil I, Fernández-Ortiz A, Macaya C, Escaned J. The Value of the SYNTAX Score II in Predicting Clinical Outcomes in Patients Undergoing Transcatheter Aortic Valve Implantation. [Article in English, Spanish]. *Rev Esp Cardiol (Engl Ed)*. 2018;71:628-37. 44. Baumgartner H, Falk V, Bax JJ, De Bonis M, Hamm C, Holm PJ, Iung B, Lancellotti P, Lansac E, Rodriguez Muñoz D, Rosenhek R, Sjögren J, Tornos Mas P, Vahanian A, Walther T, Wendler O, Windecker S, Zamorano JL; ESC Scientific Document Group. 2017 ESC/EACTS Guidelines for the management of valvular heart disease. *Eur Heart J.* 2017;38:2739-91.

45. Indolfi C, Bartorelli AL, Berti S, Golino P, Esposito G, Musumeci G, Petronio S, Tamburino C, Tarantini G, Ussia G, Vassanelli C, Spaccarotella C, Violini R, Mercuro G, Romeo F. Updated clinical indications for transcatheter aortic valve implantation in patients with severe aortic stenosis: expert opinion of the Italian Society of Cardiology and GISE. *J Cardiovasc Med (Hagerstown)*. 2018;19:197-210.

46. Khawaja MZ, Wang D, Pocock S, Redwood SR, Thomas MR. The percutaneous coronary intervention prior to transcatheter aortic valve implantation (ACTIVATION) trial: study protocol for a randomized controlled trial. *Trials.* 2014;15:300.

Supplementary data

Supplementary Appendix 1. Search strategy, study selection and data extraction.

Supplementary Appendix 2. Multivariable analysis from the paper of Paradis et al.

The supplementary data are published online at: http://www.pcronline.com/ eurointervention/144th_issue/212



Supplementary data

Supplementary Appendix 1. Search strategy, study selection and data extraction

The corresponding authors of possible studies were directly contacted via email asking for further data and knowledge of further studies. Moreover, abstract presentations at congresses were reviewed to identify other studies.

Three independent reviewers (FDA, RV, and MV) initially screened all possible articles for inclusion at the title and/or abstract level, with disagreement resolved by consensus. If thought potentially eligible, the complete article was then reviewed according to the following strict selection.

Three unblinded independent reviewers (FDA, RV, MV) abstracted the following data on prespecified data collection forms: authors, journal, year of publication, location of the study group, baseline features, definition of CAD, admission and death rates, inclusion and exclusion criteria, multivariable predictors of adverse outcomes (adjusted effect estimate of risk, with 95% confidence interval).

Supplementary Appendix 2. Multivariable analysis from the paper of Paradis et al

Multivariable logistic regression was used to perform a propensity score-adjusted comparison between rSS (<8 vs. =>8) and 1-year risk. The propensity score included the following baseline variables: age, sex, BMI, BSA, hypertension, smoker, hyperlipidaemia, diabetes mellitus, congestive heart failure, atrial fibrillation, pacemaker, COPD, and renal insufficiency. Firth's bias reduction method was applied to the model to account for the small number of events (4 events).