Thromboresistance and functional healing in the COBRA PzF stent versus competitor DES: implications for dual antiplatelet therapy



Hiroyuki Jinnouchi¹, MD; Hiroyoshi Mori¹, MD; Qi Cheng¹, MD; Matthew Kutyna¹, MS; Sho Torii¹, MD; Atsushi Sakamoto¹, MD; Liang Guo¹, PhD; Eduardo Acampado¹, DVM; Anuj Gupta², MD; Frank D. Kolodgie¹, PhD; Renu Virmani¹, MD; Aloke V. Finn^{1,2*}, MD

1. CVPath Institute, Gaithersburg, MD, USA; 2. University of Maryland, Baltimore, MD, USA

H. Jinnouchi and H. Mori contributed equally to this manuscript

This paper also includes supplementary data published online at: https://eurointervention.pcronline.com/doi/10.4244/EIJ-D-18-00740

KEYWORDS

- bare metal stent
- coronary artery disease
- drug-eluting stent
- preclinical research

Abstract

Aims: The aim of this study was to investigate the COBRA PzF stent (C-PzF) with respect to thrombogenicity and healing versus conventional drug-eluting stents (DES) in dedicated preclinical models to evaluate their suitability for short-term dual antiplatelet therapy (DAPT).

Methods and results: To examine acute thrombogenicity, the C-PzF durable polymer drug-eluting stent (DP-DES), and a bioabsorable polymer DES (BP-DES) were compared in a porcine arteriovenous shunt model and in a rabbit model to evaluate endothelial coverage at 14 days. Barrier function at 28 days in the rabbit was assessed in the former stents as well as in a polymer-free DES (PF-BES). The number of clots by scanning electron microscopy (SEM) was significantly less in the C-PzF and DP-EES versus the BP-EES. Endothelial coverage at 14 days was significantly greater in the C-PzF versus the DP-EES and BP-EES by CD31/PECAM-1 positive area in confocal microscopy (CM) (24.7% vs 11.9% vs 3.7%, respectively) and SEM (99.0% vs 29.6% vs 17.7%, respectively). Barrier protein expression by CM for p120/vascular-endothelial cadherin complex was significantly greater in the C-PzF versus the DP-EES, BP-EES, and PF-BES (82.6% vs 12.8% vs 14.4% vs 18.1%, respectively). The percentage of Evan's Blue positive area was least in the C-PzF versus all groups (22.0% vs 70.7% vs 66.4% vs 55.2%, respectively).

Conclusions: The C-PzF demonstrated a unique combination of thrombogenicity and healing advantages compared to contemporary DES and may be uniquely suitable for very short-term DAPT.

**Corresponding author: CVPath Institute Inc., 19 Firstfield Road, Gaithersburg, MD 20878, USA. E-mail: afinn@cvpath.org*

Abbreviations

BMS	bare metal stent
C-PzF	COBRA PzF
CoCr	cobalt-chromium
CM	confocal microscopy
DAPT	dual antiplatelet therapy
DES	drug-eluting stent
EES	everolimus-eluting stent
PCI	percutaneous coronary intervention
PF	polymer-free
PF-BES	polymer-free biolimus-eluting stent
SEM	scanning electron microscopy
VE	vascular-endothelial

Introduction

Drug-eluting stents (DES) have revolutionised the field of percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI). Although DES reduce rates of restenosis compared with bare metal stents (BMS), they also prolong the arterial repair process which requires extended treatment with dual antiplatelet therapy (DAPT) with aspirin and a thienopyridine (e.g., clopidogrel). Overall, DAPT use is associated with increased bleeding risk. Multiple studies have documented the association of bleeding after PCI and adverse outcomes, including increased mortality^{1,2}. It is estimated that 15% or more of patients undergoing PCI are at high risk for bleeding^{3,4}.

Stent designs to allow shortening the duration of DAPT have become a major area of focus. Ideal adaptations would confer both antithrombotic and accelerated healing characteristics. While all current-generation stents have thin-strut metallic backbones, polymeric coatings distinguish one from another. Fluorinated polymers have shown particular promise because they have antithrombotic, antiinflammatory, and antirestenotic properties that may be conducive for curtailing DAPT⁵. The COBRA PzFTM coronary system (C-PzF; CeloNova Biosciences, San Antonio, TX, USA) is composed of a thin (71 µm strut thickness) strut stent made of cobalt-chromium and fluorinated Polyzene-F (PzF) polymer and coated with a unique formulation of poly(bis[trifluoroethoxy]phosphazene) without any added drugs. PzF is an inorganic, high molecular weight polymer possessing a backbone of alternating nitrogen and phosphorus atoms and trifluoroethanol side groups that can be used to coat multiple substrates including stents. The C-PzF equipped with this novel technology exhibits a high affinity for binding serum albumin on its surface, preventing inflammatory cell, fibrinogen and platelet adhesion⁶. These characteristics may be beneficial for use in high bleeding risk patients where DAPT duration must be severely curtailed. In a recent clinical study, the C-PzF achieved a pre-specified performance goal for target vessel failure with infrequent late myocardial infarction and no stent thrombosis as compared with BMS⁷. A recent preclinical study also supported the performance of the C-PzF showing favourable antirestenotic and healing properties in the porcine model and resistance to thrombogenicity in an *ex vivo* shunt model as compared to BMS⁶.

Although recent trends favour the use of short-term DAPT (i.e., one to three months), comparative studies examining the relative thrombogenicity and healing of the C-PzF versus current-generation DES (including durable, bioabsorbable, and polymer-free versions) in preclinical models have never been performed. Therefore, the aim of this study was to evaluate these specific characteristics in these different stent types to help inform decisions regarding DAPT duration in patients receiving these devices.

Editorial, see page 304

Methods

Table 1 lists the animal models and devices used for each of these different experiments. The test arm for all studies was the C-PzF. Commercially available XIENCE Xpedition[®] (Abbott Vascular, Santa Clara, CA, USA) durable polymer everolimus-eluting stents (DP-EES) and SYNERGY[™] (Boston Scientific, Marlborough, MA, USA) bioabsorbable polymer everolimus-eluting stents (BP-EES) were compared. Additionally, the BioFreedom[™] (Biosensors, Newport Beach, CA, USA) polymer-free biolimus-eluting stent (PF-BES) was added in a barrier function study.

Supplementary Appendix 1 shows details of the methods; Supplementary Table 1 provides device descriptions.

Results

ACUTE THROMBOGENICITY IN A PORCINE ARTERIOVENOUS SHUNT MODEL

There was no evidence of blood coagulation or platelet function abnormalities in any of the animals studied. Additionally, the measures of coagulation were similar in all shunt runs **(Supplementary Table 2)**.

	Ex vivo AV shunt model	In vivo stent implantation model	In vivo stent implantation model
	Porcine	Rabbits	Rabbits
1 hour 14 days		14 days	28 days
	4 9 8		8
of stent 1 C-Pz		C-PzF (n=6)	C-PzF (n=4)
2	DP-EES (n=8)	DP-EES (n=6)	DP-EES (n=4)
3 BP-EES (n=8)		BP-EES (n=6)	BP-EES (n=4)
4 NA		NA	PF-BES (n=4)
	3 4	1 hour 4 1 C-PzF (n=8) 2 DP-EES (n=8) 3 BP-EES (n=8) 4 NA	1 hour 14 days 4 9 1 C-PzF (n=8) C-PzF (n=6) 2 DP-EES (n=8) DP-EES (n=6) 3 BP-EES (n=8) BP-EES (n=6)

 Table 1. Summary of animal model and stents tested.

AV: arteriovenous; BP-EES: biodegradable polymer everolimus-eluting stent; C-PzF: COBRA PzF; DP-EES: durable polymer everolimus-eluting stent; NA: not applicable; PF-BES: polymer-free biolimus-eluting stent

Table 2 summarises the results of platelet adhesion by CM and SEM. **Figure 1** and **Figure 2** show representative SEM and CM images with immunofluorescent staining against dual platelet markers (CD61/42b) in the C-PzF, DP-EES, and BP-EES. When total platelet fluorescence area was normalised to stent surface area, the C-PzF had significantly greater platelet adhesion versus DP-EES, whereas there was no significant difference between the C-PzF and BP-EES. However, the number of clots counted by SEM was significantly less in the C-PzF than BP-EES, whereas there was no significant difference between the counter a significant difference between the counter and break and the significant difference between the counter a significant diffe

Table 2 lists the results of immunofluorescent staining against a neutrophil marker (PM-1) and a monocyte marker (CD14) by CM; **Figure 3** shows representative images from each group. There was significantly less area of PM-1 positive staining in C-PzF and DP-EES versus BP-EES, whereas there was no significant difference between C-PzF and DP-EES. Similarly, CD14 immunostaining area showed significantly less staining in C-PzF and DP-EES as compared to BP-EES, whereas C-PzF was comparable to DP-EES.

ENDOTHELIAL COVERAGE IN AN IN VIVO RABBIT MODEL AT 14 DAYS

All animals survived the study's in-life phase. Angiography revealed no evidence of dissection or thrombosis in any of the stents. Endothelial coverage was evaluated by CM using an antibody against the endothelial surface marker CD31 (PECAM-1),

a non-specific marker for endothelial cell coverage. **Table 3** summarises the results of endothelial coverage by CM and SEM and **Figure 4** shows representative SEM and CM images. C-PzF showed significantly greater strut coverage in terms of quantification of endothelial coverage above struts by SEM versus BP-EES and DP-EES. By CM, the percent of CD31 expression above struts as evaluated by CD31/PECAM-1 staining was significantly greater for C-PzF versus BP-EES and DP-EES. With regard to evaluation of macrophages, the RAM-11 positive area was significantly less in C-PzF when compared to DP-EES and BP-EES, as shown in **Table 3**. **Figure 5** shows representative CM images with immunofluorescent staining against macrophages (RAM-11) in each of the groups.

BARRIER FUNCTION AND EXPRESSION IN IN VIVO RABBIT MODEL AT 28 DAYS

All animals survived the study's in-life phase. Angiography revealed no evidence of dissection or thrombosis in any of the stents. Endothelial barrier function was evaluated at 28 days by Evans Blue dye staining (to evaluate endothelial permeability within the stents) and by CM for p120/vascular-endothelial (VE)-cadherin complex which should co-localise at cell borders in areas with functioning endothelial barriers. One animal died during stent explant. Therefore, there were no Evans Blue uptake data for one BP-EES and one PF-BES. **Table 4** and **Supplementary Table 3** summarise the results of the endothelial barrier function study

Table 2. Thrombogenicity study in	<i>ex vivo</i> arteriovenous shunt models.
-----------------------------------	--

	(95% CI)	(95% CI)	DP-EES	BP-EES	BP-EES
22.60 (14.26-35.83)	7.94 (5.00-12.58)	16.39 (10.34-25.99)	0.003	0.166	0.012
1.67 (0.94-2.97)	0.875 (0.36-2.13)	5.18 (3.05-8.79)	0.153	0.017	0.006
69.66 (35.71-135.89)	58.76 (30.12-114.62)	365.66 (187.45-713.28)	0.459	0.008	0.007
49.75 (14.74-84.76)	38.75 (3.74-73.76)	211.75 (176.74-246.76)	0.415	<0.001	<0.001
	(14.26-35.83) 1.67 (0.94-2.97) 69.66 (35.71-135.89) 49.75 (14.74-84.76)	(14.26-35.83)(5.00-12.58)1.67 (0.94-2.97)0.875 (0.36-2.13)69.6658.76(35.71-135.89)(30.12-114.62)49.7538.75(14.74-84.76)(3.74-73.76)	(14.26-35.83)(5.00-12.58)(10.34-25.99)1.67 (0.94-2.97)0.875 (0.36-2.13)5.18 (3.05-8.79)69.6658.76365.66(35.71-135.89)(30.12-114.62)(187.45-713.28)49.7538.75211.75(14.74-84.76)(3.74-73.76)(176.74-246.76)	(14.26-35.83) (5.00-12.58) (10.34-25.99) 0.003 1.67 (0.94-2.97) 0.875 (0.36-2.13) 5.18 (3.05-8.79) 0.153 69.66 58.76 365.66 0.459 (35.71-135.89) (30.12-114.62) (187.45-713.28) 0.415 49.75 38.75 211.75 0.415	(14.26-35.83)(5.00-12.58)(10.34-25.99)0.0030.1661.67 (0.94-2.97)0.875 (0.36-2.13)5.18 (3.05-8.79)0.1530.01769.6658.76365.660.4590.008(35.71-135.89)(30.12-114.62)(187.45-713.28)0.4590.00849.7538.75211.750.415<0.001

BP-EES: biodegradable polymer everolimus-eluting stent; C-PzF: COBRA PzF; DP-EES: durable polymer everolimus-eluting stent; SEM: scanning electron microscopy

Table 3. Endothelial coverage stud	ly in rabbit model at 14 days.
------------------------------------	--------------------------------

	C-PzF	DP-EES	BP-EES	C-PzF vs DP-EES	C-PzF vs BP-EES	DP-EES vs BP-EES	
CD31/PECAM-1 positive area above struts (%)	24.70 (17.42-57.33)	11.89 (1.56-17.82)	3.74 (1.07-8.10)	<0.001	0.003	0.098	
CD31/PECAM-1 area between struts (%)	27.35 (16.11-49.57)	10.97 (1.47-20.85)	4.58 (2.69-12.57)	0.006	0.006	0.438	
RAM-11 positive cell density (number/mm ²)	61.5 (19.00-150.5)	199.50 (143.50-313.75)	310.00 (255.00-371.00)	0.001	<0.001	0.041	
Percent of coverage above strut by SEM (%)	99.03 (90.00-99.79)	29.57 (18.37-33.79)	17.72 (7.92-21.25)	<0.001	<0.001	0.01	
Percent of coverage between struts by SEM (%)	100.00 (95.73-100.00)	87.50 (83.64-89.27)	74.22 (70.00-80.78)	<0.001	<0.001	0.001	
BP-EES: biodegradable polymer everolimus-eluting stent; C-PzF: COBRA PzF; DP-EES: durable polymer everolimus-eluting stent; SEM: scanning electron microscopy							





	C-PzF	DP-EES	BP-EES	PF-BES	C-PzF vs DP-EES	C-PzF vs BP-EES	C-PzF vs PF-BES
Percentage of co-localised VE-cadherin and p120 above struts (%)	82.61 (36.09-95.55)	12.81 (10.20-18.74)	14.40 (7.77-29.62)	18.12 (10.48-52.53)	<0.001	<0.001	0.026
Percentage of co-localised VE-cadherin and p120 between struts (%)	78.30 (32.40-95.80)	15.70 (12.86-20.72)	15.49 (7.52-30.84)	18.92 (9.35-53.07)	<0.001	<0.001	0.039
Percentage of Evan's Blue positive area	22.02 (9.86-57.09)	70.68 (58.17-76.54)	66.43 (62.32-71.43)	55.19 (50.38-61.73)	0.03	0.033	0.098
Percent of coverage above strut by SEM (%)	99.58 (99.48-99.90)	57.12 (49.18-77.31)	49.91 (46.65-56.52)	65.29 (53.17-80.57)	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001
Percent of coverage between struts by SEM (%)	99.72 (99.65-99.93)	66.06 (58.08-83.27)	58.22 (55.63-64.95)	73.85 (62.21-87.50)	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001
BP-EES: biodegradable polymer everolimus-eluting stent; C-PzF: COBRA PzF; DP-EES: durable polymer everolimus-eluting stent; PF-BES: polymer free biolimus-eluting stent; SEM: scanning electron microscopy; VE: vascular-endothelial							

Table 4. Barrier function study in rabbit model at 28 days.



Figure 2. Representative SEM images in a swine arteriovenous shunt model. A) On the left are representative low-power (15x magnification) images of each stent, whereas on the right are high-power images (H-1: 50x; H-2: 200x; H-3: 600x; H-4: 4,000x) that correspond to white boxes in the low-power images. C-PzF and DP-EES showed minimal platelet aggregation on the strut surfaces with no larger clots in C-PzF and DP-EES, whereas BP-EES showed some clot formation. B) The number of clots per stent by SEM was significantly less in C-PzF and DP-EES as compared to BP-EES. Data are presented as mean±standard deviation for each group and p-values are based on nested generalised linear mixed models to adjust for variations between the shunt runs. BP-EES: biodegradable polymer everolimus-eluting stent; C-PzF: COBRA PzF; DP-EES: durable polymer everolimus-eluting stent; SEM: scanning electron microscopy

by Evans Blue, CM and SEM. The area of Evans Blue uptake was less in C-PzF as compared to DP-EES and BP-EES, indicating less endothelial permeability in C-PzF. Percent of co-localisation between VE-cadherin and p120 as evaluated by CM was significantly greater in C-PzF compared to the other three stents. Additionally, C-PzF showed a significantly greater percent of endothelial coverage above struts by SEM versus all other groups. **Figure 6** shows representative images (Evans Blue, SEM and CM) by stent type. In addition, **Figure 7** shows the higher power images of CM with corresponding high-power SEM images.

Discussion

Although short-term DAPT (i.e., one to three months) is thought to be the best solution for patients at high risk for bleeding, comparative studies examining the relative thrombogenicity and healing of different stents and their suitability for short-term DAPT have not been conducted. Here we specifically examined COBRA PzF versus current-generation DES in dedicated preclinical models to compare their relative thrombogenicity and healing characteristics. Overall, DP-EES with fluoropolymer coating demonstrated the least amount of platelet staining with regard to thromboresistance, while C-PzF demonstrated similar platelet adhesion to BP-EES. However, the number of clots (>0.1 cm²) was significantly smaller in C-PzF and DP-EES versus BP-EES. Similarly, with regard to acute inflammation in the same shunt model, C-PzF demonstrated significantly fewer inflammatory cells (i.e., neutrophils and monocytes) on the stent versus BP-EES and a similar degree to DP-EES. Endothelial coverage at 14 days,



Figure 3. Representative CM images for PM-1 as a marker of neutrophils and CD14 as a marker of monocytes in a swine arteriovenous shunt model. The upper images (A) show PM-1 in each group, whereas the lower images (B) are CD14, and demonstrate that PM-1 and CD14 were less in C-PzF and DP-EES than in BP-EES. Number of PM-1 positive cells on the stent struts (C) and number of CD14 positive cells on the stent struts (D). Data are presented as mean±standard deviation for each group and p-values are based on nested generalised linear mixed models to adjust for variations between the shunt runs. BP-EES: biodegradable polymer everolimus-eluting stent; CM: confocal microscopy; C-PzF: COBRA PzF; DP-EES: durable polymer everolimus-eluting stent

as assessed by CD31 expression and SEM analyses, was significantly greater in C-PzF as compared to DP-EES and BP-EES. Lastly, with regard to endothelial barrier function, PzF exhibited superior endothelial barrier function and endothelial barrier protein expression (i.e., VE-cadherin and p120) as compared to DP-EES, BP-EES and PF-BES. Overall, these data suggest both antithrombotic and superior healing characteristics for C-PzF which may be beneficial for subjects in whom short-term DAPT is necessary.

ACUTE THROMBOGENICITY AND ACUTE INFLAMMATION

Previous preclinical studies using fluorinated polymers, e.g., poly(vinylidene fluoride-co-hexafluoropropylene) (PVDF-HFP),

suggested that these polymers may have thromboresistant effects by reducing platelet adhesion and activation through preferential adsorption and retention of albumin⁸. It has been shown that DP-EES coated with fluoropolymer (PVDF-HFP) are resistant to platelet aggregation⁵. PzF is also recognised as a fluorinated polymer, which is expected to show similar resistance to platelet aggregation⁹. In a previous porcine arteriovenous shunt study, C-PzF had significantly less platelet aggregation versus COBRA-BMS and V-BMS⁶. In the current study, although the percent area of platelet staining was significantly smaller for DP-EES versus both C-PzF and BP-EES, SEM showed a lower number of clots in C-PzF and DP-EES versus BP-EES. Likewise, inflammatory



Figure 4. Representative SEM and CM images (CD31) in rabbit models at 14 days. A) Low-power (15x) images upper left, low-power (10x) CM images in the middle, and higher-power (20x) CM images on the right. Note CD31 positive area is obviously greater in C-PzF than DP-EES and BP-EES. Graphs showing endothelial coverage in SEM at 14 days (B), and CD31 expression area above strut surface by CM by stent type (C). Data are presented as median with interquartile range for each group and p-values between the groups based on generalised estimating equation method to adjust for variations between the animals. BP-EES: biodegradable polymer everolimus-eluting stent; CM: confocal microscopy; C-PzF: COBRA PzF; DP-EES: durable polymer everolimus-eluting stent; SEM: scanning electron microscopy

cell adherence was significantly less in both DP-EES and C-PzF versus BP-EES, perhaps because of the lack of platelet aggregates which when activated can recruit and activate inflammatory cells such as neutrophils and monocytes¹⁰. Despite the increase in platelet adhesion to C-PzF, fewer clots formed on the stent relative to the comparator stents. High-power SEM demonstrated a thin platelet adhesive lining without apparent aggregation, causing a uniform fluorescent signal throughout the stent. Whether this is due to differential effects of PzF versus PVDF membrane on platelet adhesion or the fact that the surface coating of C-PzF (50 nm) is substantially thinner than the PVDF-HPF coating on DP-EES (8 µm) remains uncertain. A first step in the healing process after

implantation of stents is platelet/fibrin deposition¹¹. Minimal deposition of platelet surrounding struts without apparent aggregation is an acceptable sign for early endothelial healing. Therefore, thin platelet adhesion without apparent aggregation in C-PzF is likely not to lead to the process of thrombus formation but that of healing, as seen in the current study. Overall, our results suggest that, although platelets may adhere to the PzF surface coating, they do not aggregate, suggesting some element of thromboresistance.

ENDOTHELIAL COVERAGE

Delayed endothelial coverage after stent implantation is one of the most important causes of stent thrombosis¹². In order to prevent



Figure 5. Representative images by CM for RAM-11 as a marker of macrophages in the rabbit model at 14 days. A) High-power images (20x) of RAM-11 positive areas on the strut surfaces. B) Graph showing RAM-11 positive area on strut surfaces by stent type. Data are presented as mean±standard deviation for each group and p-values comparing differences between the groups are based on a generalised estimating equation method to adjust for variations between the animals. BP-EES: biodegradable polymer everolimus-eluting stent; CM: confocal microscopy; C-PzF: COBRA PzF; DP-EES: durable polymer everolimus-eluting stent

thrombotic events after stent implantation, early endothelial coverage is crucial. The speed of endothelial coverage is influenced by contributing factors such as strut thickness, type of polymer, type of drug, and amount of drug. The thickness of stent struts is well known to influence the growth of endothelial cells through its effect on shear stress and blood flow dynamics^{13,14}. Whereas DES elute antiproliferative agents to prevent restenosis, C-PzF does not contain a drug. Our data show that endothelial coverage in C-PzF is superior to the commercially available DES, which may allow an even shorter duration of DAPT relative to DES. Differences in strut coverage between C-PzF and competitor DES were probably due to the drug inhibiting endothelialisation. It is very likely that the polymer itself plays a neutral role in the speed of coverage since, in previous preclinical studies in porcine models, C-PzF had comparable endothelial coverage to V-BMS, showing mean endothelial coverage of 98.33% even at five days by histopathology⁶.


Figure 6. Representative Evans Blue, SEM and CM for VE-cadherin and p120 images in a rabbit model at 28 days. A) Upper panels show Evans Blue gross images (low-power) by stent type, the middle panels are SEM (low-power; 15x) and the lower panels are VE-cadherin and p120 expression (low-power; 10x). Note that C-PzF showed the least Evans Blue positive area, and the greatest coverage of the stent and co-localisation of VE-cadherin and p120. B) High-power images of white boxes in panel A. Red dotted lines correspond to the same positions in Evans Blue gross images, SEM, and CM. C) Graphs showing Evans Blue positive area (left), coverage above struts in SEM (middle), and co-localisation area of VE-cadherin and p120 (right). Data are presented as median with interquartile range for each group and p-values between the groups based on a generalised estimating equation method to adjust for variations between the animals. BP-EES: biodegradable polymer everolimus-eluting stent; CM: confocal microscopy; C-PzF: COBRA PzF; DP-EES: durable polymer everolimus-eluting stent; PF-BES: polymer-free biolimus-eluting stent; SEM: scanning electron microscopy; VE: vascular-endothelial

BARRIER FUNCTION

Endothelial permeability has been shown to play a role in atherosclerosis and neoatherosclerosis. Of the different types of junctional protein that exist on endothelial cells, adherens junctions play a vital role in endothelial barrier function¹⁵. Among these, VE-cadherin is essential for normal endothelial barrier function¹⁵. Administration of an anti-VE-cadherin antibody to mice resulted in increasing vascular permeability without modifying other types of interendothelial junction molecules¹⁶. In this study, VE-cadherin expression was greater in C-PzF as compared to DP-EES, BP-EES, and PF-BES. Consistent with results of VE-cadherin and p120 co-localisation studies, Evans Blue dye staining was least in C-PzF in all groups. In a previous preclinical study, a biodegradable polymer sirolimus-eluting stent (Ultimaster[®]; Terumo Corp., Tokyo, Japan), which is an abluminally coated biodegradable polymer-based DES, showed better VE-cadherin expression as compared to DP-EES¹⁷. However, in that manuscript, endothelial barrier function was never tested. Here we show for the first time by Evans Blue dye staining that barrier function is disrupted in all DES compared to C-PzF at 28 days. This is almost certainly due to drug effect, as we previously demonstrated that exposure to a mammalian target of rapamycin inhibitors (i.e., sirolimus or everolimus) leads to impaired barrier function via Ca²⁺ mediated activation of protein kinase C alpha and downstream disruption of





the VE-cadherin junctional formation in vascular endothelium¹⁸. Whether other aspects of endothelial barrier function such as nitric oxide production might also be relevant towards reducing DAPT given its anti-aggregatory effects deserves further exploration.

CLINICAL IMPLICATIONS

Our preclinical data suggest that PzF coating has important benefits in terms of antithrombogenicity, reduced inflammatory reaction, and early endothelial coverage and barrier function. In fact, previous prospective clinical studies have demonstrated a very low rate of stent thrombosis⁷. The ongoing COBRA-REDUCE trial (NCT02594501) is examining whether, in patients undergoing coronary intervention who also require oral anticoagulation, treatment with the COBRA PzF stent plus 14-day DAPT has higher safety and non-inferior outcomes for thromboembolic events compared with a standard Food and Drug Administration-approved drug-eluting stent plus three- or six-month DAPT. Our data support such an approach, since C-PzF showed equivalent if not better thromboresistance to current-generation DES and BMS as well as earlier strut coverage and return of functional healing. Clinical trials are needed to examine how short DAPT therapy could be curtailed with this novel stent system.

Study limitations

This study has some limitations. First, the results from in vivo and ex vivo models cannot be applied directly to real-world patients who have atherosclerotic coronary arteries. The atherosclerotic milieu has complex effects that may influence thrombogenicity, inflammation, and healing. Therefore, we should be cautious when extrapolating these results to the clinic. Nonetheless, we believe that these models are generally reliable to give some relative comparison of the endpoints examined between stents. Second, although our data suggest quicker and more functional endothelialisatoin for COBRA PzF, this does not mean that rates of restenosis would be equivalent to DES. Nonetheless, several previous clinical studies have shown attractive rates of target lesion revascularisation as compared to BMS7,19. Finally, PECAM-1 is not a specific marker either for endothelial cells or for platelet. However, CD31/PECAM-1 has been broadly used to evaluate endothelial cell junctions and its utility has been proven²⁰⁻²². Additionally, in the process of staining, the stented artery halves were rinsed in phosphate buffered saline before staining with CD31/PECAM-1, and the pattern of staining resembles the cobblestone shape of endothelial cells not platelets. Therefore, we believe that the anti-PECAM-1 antibody detects the presence of endothelial cells (not platelets) on the surface of stents. In the current study, the coverage by SEM also supports the result of confocal microscopy using PECAM-1, showing similar trends.

Conclusions

C-PzF showed a distinctive combination of advantages when examining a combination of endpoints designed to evaluate its potential for short-term DAPT. Thromboresistance might be equivalent or superior to current-generation DP-EES and BP-EES, and healing was superior to the former stents as well as to PF-BES. C-PzF might be of important benefit to patients requiring very short-term DAPT.

Impact on daily practice

Our data suggest that C-PzF has characteristics which make it beneficial for short-term DAPT. The usage of C-PzF might be suitable especially for patients at high risk for bleeding.

Funding

This study was funded by CeloNova Biosciences, San Antonio, TX, USA. The design of the study, collection of data, and analysis were performed independently by CVPath personnel.

Conflict of interest statement

CVPath Institute has received institutional research support from 480 Biomedical, Abbott Vascular, ART, Biosensors International,

Biotronik, Boston Scientific, CeloNova, Claret Medical, Cook Medical, Cordis, Edwards Lifesciences, Medtronic, MicroPort, MicroVention, OrbusNeich, ReCor, SINO Medical Technology, Spectranetics, Surmodics, Terumo Corporation, W.L. Gore and Xeltis. R. Virmani has received honoraria from 480 Biomedical, Abbott Vascular, Boston Scientific, Cook Medical, Lutonix, Medtronic, Terumo Corporation and W.L. Gore, and is a consultant for 480 Biomedical, Abbott Vascular, Medtronic, and W.L. Gore. A. Finn has received honoraria from Boston Scientific, Abbott, and CeloNova. The other authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

References

1. Giustino G, Baber U, Sartori S, Mehran R, Mastoris I, Kini AS, Sharma SK, Pocock SJ, Dangas GD. Duration of dual antiplatelet therapy after drug-eluting stent implantation: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2015;65:1298-310.

2. Généreux P, Giustino G, Witzenbichler B, Weisz G, Stuckey TD, Rinaldi MJ, Neumann FJ, Metzger DC, Henry TD, Cox DA, Duffy PL, Mazzaferri E, Yadav M, Francese DP, Palmerini T, Kirtane AJ, Litherland C, Mehran R, Stone GW. Incidence, Predictors, and Impact of Post-Discharge Bleeding After Percutaneous Coronary Intervention. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2015;66: 1036-45.

3. Urban P, Abizaid A, Chevalier B, Greene S, Meredith I, Morice MC, Pocock S. Rationale and design of the LEADERS FREE trial: A randomized double-blind comparison of the BioFreedom drug-coated stent vs the Gazelle bare metal stent in patients at high bleeding risk using a short (1 month) course of dual antiplatelet therapy. *Am Heart J.* 2013;165:704-9.

4. Morice MC, Urban P, Greene S, Schuler G, Chevalier B. Why are we still using coronary bare-metal stents? *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2013;61:1122-3.

5. Otsuka F, Cheng Q, Yahagi K, Acampado E, Sheehy A, Yazdani SK, Sakakura K, Euller K, Perkins LEL, Kolodgie FD, Virmani R, Joner M. Acute Thrombogenicity of a Durable Polymer Everolimus-Eluting Stent Relative to Contemporary Drug-Eluting Stents With Biodegradable Polymer Coatings Assessed Ex Vivo in a Swine Shunt Model. *JACC Cardiovasc Interv.* 2015;8:1248-60.

6. Koppara T, Sakakura K, Pacheco E, Cheng Q, Zhao X, Acampado E, Finn AV, Barakat M, Maillard L, Ren J, Deshpande M, Kolodgie FD, Joner M, Virmani R. Preclinical evaluation of a novel polyphosphazene surface modified stent. *Int J Cardiol.* 2016;222: 217-25.

7. Cutlip DE, Garratt KN, Novack V, Barakat M, Meraj P, Maillard L, Erglis A, Jauhar R, Popma JJ, Stoler R, Silber S. 9-Month Clinical and Angiographic Outcomes of the COBRA Polyzene-F NanoCoated Coronary Stent System. *JACC Cardiovasc Interv.* 2017;10:160-7.

8. Szott LM, Irvin CA, Trollsas M, Hossainy S, Ratner BD. Blood compatibility assessment of polymers used in drug eluting stent coatings. *Biointerphases*. 2016;11:029806.

9. Okazoe T. Overview on the history of organofluorine chemistry from the viewpoint of material industry. *Proc Jpn Acad Ser B Phys Biol Sci.* 2009;85:276-89.

10. Giesen PL, Rauch U, Bohrmann B, Kling D, Roque M, Fallon JT, Badimon JJ, Himber J, Riederer MA, Nemerson Y. Blood-borne tissue factor: another view of thrombosis. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 1999;96:2311-5.

11. Virmani R, Kolodgie FD, Farb A, Lafont A. Drug eluting stents: are human and animal studies comparable? *Heart.* 2003;89: 133-8.

12. Joner M, Finn AV, Farb A, Mont EK, Kolodgie FD, Ladich E, Kutys R, Skorija K, Gold HK, Virmani R. Pathology of drug-eluting stents in humans: delayed healing and late thrombotic risk. *JAm Coll Cardiol.* 2006;48:193-202.

13. Richter Y, Edelman ER. Cardiology is flow. *Circulation*. 2006;113:2679-82.

14. LaDisa JF Jr, Olson LE, Douglas HA, Warltier DC, Kersten JR, Pagel PS. Alterations in regional vascular geometry produced by theoretical stent implantation influence distributions of wall shear stress: analysis of a curved coronary artery using 3D computational fluid dynamics modeling. *Biomed Eng Online*. 2006;5:40.

15. Mehta D, Malik AB. Signaling mechanisms regulating endothelial permeability. *Physiol Rev.* 2006;86:279-367.

16. Corada M, Mariotti M, Thurston G, Smith K, Kunkel R, Brockhaus M, Lampugnani MG, Martin-Padura I, Stoppacciaro A, Ruco L, McDonald DM, Ward PA, Dejana E. Vascular endothelialcadherin is an important determinant of microvascular integrity in vivo. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 1999;96:9815-20.

17. Mori H, Cheng Q, Lutter C, Smith S, Guo L, Kutyna M, Torii S, Harari E, Acampado E, Joner M, Kolodgie FD, Virmani R, Finn AV. Endothelial Barrier Protein Expression in Biodegradable Polymer Sirolimus-Eluting Versus Durable Polymer Everolimus-Eluting Metallic Stents. *JACC Cardiovasc Interv.* 2017;10:2375-87.

18. Habib A, Karmali V, Polavarapu R, Akahori H, Cheng Q, Pachura K, Kolodgie FD, Finn AV. Sirolimus-FKBP12.6 impairs

endothelial barrier function through protein kinase C- α activation and disruption of the p120-vascular endothelial cadherin interaction. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol.* 2013;33:2425-31.

19. Tamburino C, Capodanno D, Di Salvo ME, Sanfilippo A, Cascone I, Incardona V, Longo G, Giacoppo D, Capranzano P, Sgroi C, Ussia G, Monaco A, La Manna A. Safety and effectiveness of the Catania Polyzene-F coated stent in real world clinical practice: 12-month results from the ATLANTA 2 registry. *EuroIntervention*. 2012;7:1062-8.

20. Joner M, Nakazawa G, Finn AV, Quee SC, Coleman L, Acampado E, Wilson PS, Skorija K, Cheng Q, Xu X, Gold HK, Kolodgie FD, Virmani R. Endothelial cell recovery between comparator polymer-based drug-eluting stents. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2008;52:333-42.

21. RayChaudhury A, Elkins M, Kozien D, Nakada MT. Regulation of PECAM-1 in endothelial cells during cell growth and migration. *Exp Biol Med (Maywood)*. 2001;226:686-91.

22. Gratzinger D, Barreuther M, Madri JA. Platelet-endothelial cell adhesion molecule-1 modulates endothelial migration through its immunoreceptor tyrosine-based inhibitory motif. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun.* 2003;301:243-9.

Supplementary data

Supplementary Appendix 1. Methods.

Supplementary Table 1. The description of all devices.

Supplementary Table 2. Summary of the mean values from the blood coagulation of all animals (PT, PPT), platelet quantification (platelet counts, platelet EST), platelet function (LTA), and activated clotting time (ACT) in a swine acute shunt model.

Supplementary Table 3. P-values of barrier function study in *in vivo* models.

The supplementary data are published online at: https://eurointervention.pcronline.com/ doi/10.4244/EIJ-D-18-00740



Supplementary data

Supplementary Appendix 1. Methods

The study protocol was approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of the MedStar Health Research Institute.

Animal models

Two different animal models were used in this study: 1) an *ex vivo* porcine arteriovenous shunt model to examine acute thrombogenicity and acute inflammation (n=4 animals), and 2) an *in vivo* rabbit iliofemoral stenting model to examine endothelialisation and endothelial barrier function at both 14 and 28 days (n=9 animals and 8 animals, respectively).

Test devices

Three different stents were compared in an *ex vivo* arteriovenous shunt model for assessment of acute thrombogenicity and inflammation and in an *in vivo* rabbit iliofemoral stenting model for assessment of healing and inflammation at 14 days. The test arm for both studies was a cobalt-chromium (CoCr) PzF stent, 3.0 x 18 mm (COBRA-PzF; CeloNova BioSciences, San Antonio, TX, USA). Commercially available XIENCE Xpedition, durable polymer everolimus-eluting stents (DP-EES) 3.0 x 18 mm (Abbott Vascular, Santa Clara, CA, USA) and SYNERGY, bioabsorable polymer everolimus-eluting stents (BP-EES), 3.0 x 20 mm (Boston Scientific, Marlborough, MA, USA) were compared. Additionally, the BioFreedom polymer-free biolimus-eluting stent (PF-BES), 3.0 x 18 mm (Biosensors, Newport Beach, CA, USA) was added as a comparator to the DP-EES (3.0 x 18 mm) and BP-EES (3.0 x 16 mm) in the *in vivo* rabbit

iliofemoral model for assessment of endothelial coverage and function at 28 days (clinically the latter stent has been shown to require the shortest duration of dual antiplatelet therapy, i.e., one month, which potentially suggests an advantage with regard to healing and function). **Supplementary Table 1** shows a description of all devices used in this study.

Porcine ex vivo arteriovenous shunt model

A total of 4 healthy male Yorkshire cross domestic pigs were included in this study. A porcine *ex vivo* arteriovenous shunt model involving a test circuit of 3 different in-line test stents was performed for 60 minutes to evaluate platelet adherence, thrombus formation, and acute inflammation in the devices. Each shunt model had 3 different stents and each animal had 2 shunt experiments for a total of n=6 stents per animal.

Blood activated clotting time (ACT) was kept between 150 and 190 s using a bolus of heparin and maintenance low-dose intravenous heparin (100 IU/kg) to minimise the effect of platelet aggregation and thrombus formation on the surface of the stents. The current study was designed to examine inherent platelet-mediated thrombus formation induced by different types of stent. At the end of each shunt run, stents were gravity perfused with Ringer's lactate until cleared of blood and then fixed in 10% neutral buffered formalin, and longitudinally bisected where one half underwent dual immunofluorescent staining.

Rabbit model of iliac stent implantation

A total of 17 (9 for the 14-day endothelialisation study and 8 for the 28-day endothelial function study) healthy male New Zealand White rabbits (3.0 to 4.0 kg; Millbrook Laboratories, Amherst,

MA, USA) were included in the study. Animals were given aspirin (40 mg) orally 12 hours before stent implantation and once daily until euthanasia. Stents were deployed with each iliac of an animal randomly allocated to one of 3 groups (C-PzF, DP-EES, and BP-EES) in the 14-day coverage study and one of 4 groups (C-PzF, DP-EES, BP-EES and PF-BES) in the 28-day endothelial function study. Stents (all 3.0 mm in diameter) were deployed at nominal pressure immediately after vessel denudation and the iliac arteries after balloon denudation. Follow-up angiography was conducted to confirm stent patency before euthanasia at 14 days in the healing study and 28 days in the endothelial function study.

Imaging procedures: confocal microscopy (CM), scanning electron microscopy (SEM) and Evans Blue test

In the shunt model, one half of the stent which was longitudinally bisected underwent dual immunofluorescent staining using antibodies against the antiplatelet marker CD61, a marker of platelet aggregation (Immunotec, Commerce, CA, USA) and CD42b, a marker of platelet adhesion (sc-7070; Santa Cruz, Dallas, TX, USA), to capture both originating and propagated platelet thrombus as well as an antibody against the monocyte marker CD14 (Novus Biologicals, Littleton, CO, USA). The other half was immunostained using antibodies against the platelet markers CD61/CD42b and neutrophil marker PM-1 (BMA Biomedicals, Augst, Switzerland) (dilution 1:800). Both halves were examined by SEM after CM (Zeiss LSM 800; Carl Zeiss Microscopy, Jena, Germany). ZEN software (Zeiss ZEN 2012; Carl Zeiss Microscopy) quantitated the positive area of staining within predefined regions of interest. Areas staining positive for CD61/CD42b (mm²) within each stented segment were assessed. Platelet aggregate clots were counted by SEM in both stent halves.

In the rabbit model to assess healing at 14 days after implantation of stents, one half was immunostained using CD31/PECAM-1 (Dako, Carpinteria, CA, USA), while the other half was processed for RAM-11 (Dako) and examined by SEM after completion of CM image acquisition. *En face* CM images were scanned both sides at 5x magnification with tiled Z-stack technology for whole surface view and at 20x magnification with single Z-stack for local regions of interest.

In the rabbit model to assess endothelial function at 28 days after implantation of stents, sterilefiltered Evans Blue dye administered i.v. was allowed to re-circulate for 1 hr to assess endothelial permeability. Evans Blue positive area was estimated visually after being bisected. Evans Blue positive area was estimated per strut column and averaged for the entire stent. The whole stented segment was immunostained with dual staining using antibodies against VEcadherin (R&D Systems Inc., Minneapolis, MN, USA) (dilution 1:200) and p120 (Santa Cruz) (dilution 1:400). Subsequently, both immunostained stented halves were processed for SEM after imaging by CM. Both sides were scanned *en face* by CM at 5x magnification with tiled Z-stack technology for the entire stented segment surface view.

Statistical analysis

Normality of data distribution was checked by the Shapiro-Wilk test. Continuous variables were expressed as mean±standard deviation, median with interquartile range or estimated mean with 95% confidence interval (CI), as appropriate. In shunt models, a nested generalised linear mixed model was used to evaluate group differences considering multiple measurements per individual. The experimental factor variables animal and shunt position were considered as nested random

effects and stent type was considered as a fixed effect. Vessel-level comparisons were tested by a generalised estimating equation method using a linear model or gamma with log link model between C-PzF and comparators, as appropriate. SPSS software, Version 22 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA) or JMP 9 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA) was used for statistical analysis. A p-value of p<0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Device	COBRA-PzF	Xience Xpedition	Synergy	BioFreedom
Shape				
Platform	COBRA	MULTI-LINK 8	Element	Juno Stent (SS)
Material	CoCr	CoCr	PtCr	316L stainless steel
Strut thickness	71 µm	81 µm	74 µm	112 µm
Drug type	-	Everolimus	Everolimus	Biolimus A9
Drug dose	-	100 µg/cm²	100 µg/cm²	15.6 µg/mm
Materials of the polymer	Polyzene-F polymer	PBMA/PVDF-HFP	PLGA	No Polymer
Coating type	Circumferential	Circumferential	Abluminal	Micro-structured Abluminal
Coating thickness	≤50nm / side	7-8 µm / side	4 µm	-
Stent size used	3.0 x 18 mm	3.0 x 18 mm	3.0 x 16 or 20 mm	3.0 x 18 mm
Number of stents used	18	18	18	4

Supplementary Table 1. The description of all devices.

Supplementary Table 2. Summary of the mean values from the blood coagulation of all animals (PT, PPT), platelet quantification (platelet counts, platelet EST), platelet function (LTA), and activated clotting time (ACT) in a swine acute shunt model.

Test		Mean (min - max)			Fold change (%)			
	Baseline	After 1 st shunt	After 2 nd shunt	1 st shunt vs. baseline	2 nd shunt vs. baseline	2^{nd} shunt vs. 1^{st} shunt		
Prothrombin time (seconds)	9.10 (8.30 – 9.50)	10.37 (9.50 – 11)	10.50 (9.30 – 11.30)	1.14	1.15	1.01		
PTT (seconds)	12.27 (10.50 – 13.40)	54.47 (30 – 100)	68.73 (23.70 – 100)	4.44	5.60	1.26		
Platelet count (x1,000/µL)	277 (165 – 479)	269.33 (167 – 463)	234.33 (136 – 430)	0.97	0.85	0.87		
Platelet EST	Adequate	Adequate	Adequate	N/A	N/A	N/A		
LTA (ADP = 20 um) (% platelet aggregation)	16.75 (0 - 51)	6.50 (0 - 18)	5.75 (0 - 17)	0.39	0.34	0.88		
LTA (ADP = 5 µm) % platelet aggregation	22.25 (11 – 30)	10.88 (6.50 - 14.50)	6.50 (3.50 – 10)	0.49	0.29	0.60		
ACT (seconds)	97.00 (94 – 99)	152.55 (136 – 162)	158.77 (150 – 168)	157	1.64	1.04		

Platelet EST was considered to be adequate when the platelet count was estimated to be within the reference interval.

ADP: adenosine diphosphate; LTA: light transmission aggregometry; PTT: partial thromboplastin time

	BP-EES	BP-EES	DP-EES
	vs. PF-BES	vs. DP-EES	vs. PF-BES
Percentage of co-localised VE-cadherin and p120 above			
struts (%)	0.373	0.522	0.089
Percentage of co-localised VE-cadherin and p120 between			
struts (%)	0.416	0.788	0.194
Percentage of Evans Blue positive area	0.002	0.721	0.007
Percent of coverage above struts by SEM (%)	0.013	0.114	0.579
Percent of coverage between struts by SEM (%)	0.010	0.106	0.519

Supplementary Table 3. P-values of barrier function study in *in vivo* models.

BP-EES: biodegradable polymer everolimus-eluting stent; C-PzF: COBRA-PzF; DP-EES: durable polymer everolimus-eluting stent; PF-BES: polymer-free biolimus-eluting stent; SEM: scanning electron microscopy; VE: vascular-endothelial