# EuroIntervention

## Clinical outcome after endovascular, surgical or hybrid revascularisation in patients with combined carotid and coronary artery disease: the Finalised Research In ENDovascular Strategies Study Group (FRIENDS)

Flavio Ribichini<sup>1</sup>, MD; Fabrizio Tomai<sup>2</sup>, MD; Bernhard Reimers<sup>3</sup>,MD; Paolo Russo<sup>4</sup>, MD; Raoul Borioni<sup>2</sup>, MD; Daniela Spartà<sup>1</sup>, MD; Andrea Pacchioni<sup>3</sup>, MD; Gabriele Pesarini<sup>1</sup>, MD; Barbara Spagnolo<sup>5</sup>, MD; Giovanni De Persio<sup>2</sup>, MD ; Alberto Cremonesi<sup>5</sup>, MD; Fausto Castriota<sup>5</sup>, MD

1. Department of Medicine, University of Verona, Verona, Italy; 2. Department of Cardiovascular Sciences, European Hospital, Rome, Italy; 3. Division of Cardiology, Ospedale Civile di Mirano, Mirano, Italy; 4. GVM Care and Research Cardiovascular Unit, Villa Maria Pia Hospital, Turin, Italy; 5. GVM Care and Research Cardiovascular Unit, Villa Maria Cecilia Hospital, Cotignola, Italy

The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

#### **KEYWORDS**

Atherosclerosis, peripheral angioplasty, stents, coronary artery disease

### Abstract

**Aims:** To assess the 30-day clinical outcome of endovascular and surgical revascularisation procedures in patients with carotid obstructive disease (COD) and concomitant coronary artery disease (CAD).

**Methods and results:** Between January 2006 and December 2009, 659 patients with COD and concomitant CAD were treated. The incidence of the primary endpoint (composite of death, MI and stroke) was 4.25% (0.9%, 1.1% and 2.3%, respectively). Acute renal insufficiency occurred in 2.4% and major bleedings in 4.4% of patients. According to the treatment forms patients were divided into three groups: surgical, 185 patients (28.1%), endovascular, 378 (57.4%), and hybrid, 89 (13.5%). Seven patients (1%) were managed medically only. The primary endpoint of the study occurred in 4.8%, 2.4% and 8.6%, respectively, p=0.01. The secondary endpoint, that included the occurrence of renal or respiratory insufficiency and major bleedings occurred in 10.1%, 6.5% and 23.8%, respectively, p<0.001. At multivariate logistic regression analysis renal insufficiency (OR=2.517; 95%Cl=1.077-5.883, p=0.03) and treatment group (endovascular: OR=0.369; 95%Cl=0.168-0.813, p=0.01 or hybrid: OR=3.098; 95%Cl=1.359-7.060, p=0.007) predicted the primary endpoint.

**Conclusions:** Surgical and endovascular treatments yield very good immediate results; the later being less invasive, may be particularly suited to these fragile and complex patients. Long-term follow-up is under assessment.

\* Corresponding author: Università di Verona, Ospedale Civile Maggiore, Piazzale A. Stefani 1, 37126, Verona, Italy E-mail: flavio.ribichini@univr.it

© Europa Edition 2010. All rights reserved.

## Introduction

The co-existence of atherosclerosis in different vascular districts is known as plurivascular disease, a pathological condition that continuous to expand in parallel with life expectancy. In fact, with ageing atherosclerotic plurivascular disease becomes a leading cause of morbidity needing hospitalisation and cure as well as a cause of mortality<sup>1,2</sup>.

Revascularisation of macro-circulatory systems affected by atherosclerotic lesions can be achieved by both surgical and endovascular means. A large body of evidence is available regarding the long-term outcome of surgical and endovascular procedures applied in patients with coronary artery disease (CAD). Less information has been obtained from patients with carotid obstructive disease (COD), and very little is known about the advantages, shortcomings, and long-term outcomes of patients having concomitant CAD and COD that may be referred to completely surgical or endovascular interventions, or managed with a combined (hybrid) approach that includes both forms of treatment<sup>3,4</sup>.

As there are no available randomised comparisons of this kind in such an important, and rapidly growing subset of patients, we initiated a systematic assessment of clinical outcomes in consecutive patients with COD and associated CAD referred for cardiovascular interventions to high volume centres dedicated to the treatment of plurivascular disease.

Thus, the aim of the present study was to analyse the 30-day clinical outcomes of a wide population of patients with concomitant CAD and COD treated according to the "best standards of care". These patients belong to a larger database that includes patients entered with also other forms of plurivascular disease and treatment as defined by the FRIENDS (Finalised Research In ENDovascular Strategies) study group, an Italian, spontaneously generated, independent, non profit association.

Present members are part of high-volume institutions committed to cardiovascular care and work with a shared intention under a common coordination. The initial goal of the group is to assess the short, intermediate and long-term outcome of revascularisation procedures applied to patients with complex, plurivascular disease with a multi-disciplinary approach<sup>5</sup>.

## Material and methods

## **Patient population**

Patients with a concomitant COD and CAD, documented with selective angiography performed in each participating centre between January 2006 and December 2008, were extracted from the common FRIENDS database, with the intention of focusing on this issue in the previously collected individual datasets developed in each centre. Clinical follow-up was obtained prospectively by either clinical visits or telephone contact at 30 days.

All patients included in this study gave informed consent to undergo the proposed treatment and follow the pre-specified follow-up program. The ethical committees of each participating institution were informed about the aims and methods of this study.

## **Diagnosis of CAD and COD**

CAD was diagnosed by selective angiography when a stenosis >70% was present in at least one of the major coronary branches or >50% in the left main, and was conventionally classified according to the degree of involvement of the coronary tree. According to clinical presentation, CAD was classified as stable, (including patients with silent ischaemia); or acute coronary syndromes (ACS), including patients with unstable angina (UA), non ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction (NSTEMI) and ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI).

COD was diagnosed in clinically symptomatic patients when congruent signs of cerebral ischaemia detected by imaging techniques were associated to neurological manifestations of ischaemic nature. COD was confirmed by selective angiography in almost all cases and when an endovascular treatment was considered, or by non-invasive means only in patients with a preferred indication for surgical revascularisation. COD was diagnosed when a stenosis >70% involving the internal carotid artery was identified at selective angiography or when a peak systolic velocity >130 m/sec was detected by duplex ultrasound. In neurologically asymptomatic patients, angiography was performed according to current recommendations<sup>6</sup>, mostly in those with severe CAD, with urgent need for CABG, with clear evidence of significant carotid disease as diagnosed by non-invasive means or in cases of non-diagnostic findings because of technical limitations of the noninvasive imaging.

Chronic kidney disease was diagnosed and classified according to the National Kidney Foundation criteria, based on the calculated glomerular filtration rate (GFR)<sup>7</sup>.

## Interventions

Each of the participating centres developed a local team of experts in vascular medicine to discuss the therapeutic indications in this specific patient's setting<sup>5</sup>. The cardio-vascular team included cardiac and vascular surgeons, and clinical and interventional cardiologists, and opinions from neurologists were required as needed. Therapeutic decisions were applied individually for each patient according to best knowledge and local expertise, and are summarised in Table 1.

Coronary or carotid interventions were mandated either by symptoms as well as by the indications proposed from current guidelines<sup>6.8</sup>. Staged interventions are intended as those performed within a range of 1-45 days from the first; while simultaneous interventions are those performed during the same access to the catheterisation laboratory or within the same day.

Coronary artery by-pass grafting (CABG) was performed "off pump" in many cases. Carotid endoarterectomy (CEA) and percutaneous coronary interventions (PCI) were performed according to conventional techniques. Local anaesthesia was preferred for CEA when suitable. Stent placement was performed in nearly 100% of PCI after balloon dilatation, or vessel preparation with rotational atherectomy when needed. As to the technical aspects of carotid artery stenting (CAS), different kinds of stents and distal protection devices were used according to individual clinical and anatomical characteristics as recommended by experts<sup>9</sup>.



#### Table 1. Treatment modality and clinical events.

Treatment form	All patients N=659	Neuro+ N112	Cumulative events* N (%)	Cumulative events in neuro+ N (%)
SURGICAL	185(28.1%)	7(3.8%)		
1. CABG and staged CEA	5(0.8%)	1(20%)	0	
2. CEA and staged CABG	1 (0.2%)	0	0	
3. Simultaneous CABG and CEA	124(18.8%)	0	16(13%)	
Death	5(4.0%)			
AMI	1(0.8%)			
Stroke	1 (0.8%)			
RI	3(2.0%)			
AKI	5(4.0%)			
Major bleeding	1 (0.8%)			
4. CEA and medical treatment of the CAD	48(7.3%)	4(8.4%)	2(4%)	1(20%)
AMI	1(2.1%)			
Stroke	1(2.1%)	1(25%)		
5. CABG and medical treatment of the COD	7(1%)	2(28.6%)	3(43%)	
RI	1(14.3%)	· · · ·		
Major bleeding	2(28.6%)			
	378(57.4%)	9/(2/ 9%)		
6 PCI and staged CAS	113(17.1%)	16(14.2%)	8(7 1%)	
Stroke	2(1.8%)	10(14.270)	0(7.170)	
TTA	2(1.8%)			
	2(1.070)			
ANI Major blooding	2(1.0%)			
Major Dieeding	2(1.0%)	11/2/ /0/)	6(10 70/)	1(1 10/)
	52(4.9%)	11(34.4%)	0(10.7%)	1(1.1%)
AMI Stroko	1(3.1%)			
SLIDKE	2(0.2%)			
ANI Majar blooding	1(5.1%)	1(0,10/)		
Major Dieeuing	2(0.2%)	1(9.1%)	E(1( 20/)	2(2,200)
8. Simultaneous PCI anu CAS	35(5.3%) 1(2.0%)	14(40.0%)	5(14.3%)	3(3.2%)
Death	1(2.8%)	1(7.1%)		
	3(8.6%)	1(7.1%)		
KI	1(2.8%)	1(7.1%)	40(6.00)	
9. CAS and medical treatment of the CAD	192(29.1%)	52(27.1%)	13(6.8%)	2(2.2%)
Stroke	4(2.1%)	1(19.2%)		
	2(1%)			
AKI	4(2.1%)			
Major bleeding	3(1.6%)	1(19.2%)		
10. PCI and medical treatment of the COD	6(0.9%)	1(16.7%)	2(33%)	
TIA	1(16.7%)			
RI	1(16.7%)			
HYBRID	89(13.5%)	8(8.9%)		
11. CAS and CABG	68(10.3%)	4(5.6%)	33(49%)	1(12.5%)
AMI	3(4.4%)			
Stroke	4(5.9%)			
TIA	3(4.4%)	1(25.0%)		
AKI	4(5.9%)			
Major bleeding	19(27.9%)			
12. CEA and PCI	21(3.2%)	4(19.0%)	2(9.5%)	
AMI	1(4.8%)		· /	
Stroke	1(4.8%)			
13. Only medical (non-interventional) treatment	7(1.0%)	3(42.8%)	0	0

Neuro+ means neurologically symptomatic as defined in the methods section.

## **Data collection**

Patients information was entered in a common dedicated database. Clinical events were assessed in-hospital and one month after discharge.

## Endpoints

The primary endpoint of the study is the occurrence of major adverse cardiac and cerebral-vascular events (MACCE) at 30 days, including any death, myocardial infarction (MI) and any stroke.



Secondary endpoints of the study are the assessment of the inhospital outcome comprised in the primary endpoint including also complications related to the procedures such as: transient ischaemic attacks (TIA), major bleeding, acute kidney injury (AKI) and need for dialysis after CAS or PCI. TIA, major bleeding, AKI and need for dialysis, cranial-nerve palsy, respiratory insufficiency (RI) needing mechanical ventilation, or other complications at the surgical site of the vascular access after CEA or CABG.

### Study endpoint definitions

Death: all deaths will be considered irrespective of its aetiology.

Myocardial infarction (MI): is defined as either the development of pathologic Q waves lasting at least 0.4 second in at least two contiguous leads with an elevation of the CK MB fraction level or, in the absence of pathologic Q waves, an elevation in CK MB fraction levels to more than 3 or 5 times the UNL (Upper Normal Limit) for PCI and CABG respectively.

Stroke: ischaemic neurologic deficit that persisted for more than 48 hours as assessed by the neurologists, confirmed by brain imaging and quantified with the use of the National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale, the Barthel index of functional levels in activities of daily living, and the Rankin scale of functional disability as proposed by the SAPPHIRE investigators<sup>10</sup>.

Transient ischaemic attack (TIA): a brief episode of neurological dysfunction caused by a focal brain or retinal ischaemia, with clinical symptoms typically lasting less than one hour and without evidence of acute infarction<sup>11</sup>.

Acute kidney injury (AKI): intended as either a contrast-induced nephropathy (with or without the need for dialysis) and return to baseline, or with persistent lost of at least 25% of the baseline GFR<sup>12</sup>.

Bleeding: according to recent interventional trials, major bleeding was defined as the occurrence within 30 days of treatment of intracranial or intraocular bleeding, haemorrhage at the access site requiring intervention, haematoma with a diameter of at least 5 cm, a reduction in haemoglobin levels of at least 4 g per decilitre without an overt bleeding source or at least 3 g per decilitre with such a source, reoperation for bleeding, or transfusion of a blood product<sup>13</sup>.

## **Statistical methods**

Continuous data are expressed as means and standard deviations; discrete variables are given as absolute values and percentages. The Student's t-test or Kruskal-Wallis test were used to compare differences between continuous variables, where appropriate. The chi-square statistic with Yates' correction, or Fisher's exact test when appropriate, were used to test associations of categorical data. Differences between the endovascular versus either the surgical or the hybrid group were also assessed by means of multicomparison tests (Brandt-Snedecor or ANOVA with the Bonferroni's Method, where appropriate). Univariate analysis to test the relation between the clinical and treatment variables and the occurrence of events included in primary and secondary endpoints was performed by means of binary logistic regression, obtaining also the odds-ratio for each parameter. A multivariate logistic regression model was then created including relevant variables to identify independent predictors of outcome. All tests were two-sided. A probability value of less than or equal to 5% was considered significant. SPSS version 15 and Microsoft Excel 2003 was used for the data analysis.

#### Results

Between January 2006 and December 2009, 659 patients with COD and concomitant CAD were referred to our hospitals for vascular care and underwent revascularisation on at least one of the two vascular districts. Data regarding in-hospital and 30-day outcomes were obtained in all patients.

The type of treatment applied is shown in Table 1, and the baseline characteristics of all patients are reported in Table 2.

Coronary angiography was performed because of stable angina or silent ischaemia in 4.4% of patients and ACS in 44%. In the remaining cases it was performed for other reasons such as chest pain, heart failure, major ventricular arrhythmias, cardiomyopathy of recent diagnosis or as pre-surgical diagnostic screening.

Carotid angiography was performed because of history of previous stroke in 15.8%, recent neurologic symptoms in 17% of patients,

#### Table 2. General population baseline characteristics.

	N° 659 (%)
Age	71.49±8.37
Male gender	499(75.7%)
Hypertension	566(85.9%)
Diabetes	234(35.5%)
Dyslipidaemia	367(55.7%)
Smoke	429(65.1%)
Family history of CAD	78(11.8%)
Obesity*	45(6.8%)
CRI	98(14.9%)
LVEF	56.37±6.83
Degree of CAD	
1-VD	345(52.3%)
2-VD	111 (16.8%)
3-VD	203(30.8%)
ULM	22(3.4%)
Previous Stroke	104(15.8%)
Previous Ml	126(19.1%)
Previous CABG	21(3.2%)
Stable angina/silent ischaemia	29(4.4%)
Unstable angina	18(2.7%)
NSTEMI	264(40.1%)
STEM I	6(0.9%)
Neurological symptoms	112(17.0%)
Other cardiologic diagnosis/non-invasive neuro-imaging	230(34.9%)
Bilateral COD	27(4.1%)

CABG: coronary artery bypass graft; CAD: coronary artery disease; COD: carotid obstructive disease; CRI: chronic renal insufficiency; LVEF: left ventricular ejection fraction; MI: myocardial infarction; NSTEMI: non ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction; STEMI: ST-elevation myocardial infarction; VD: vessel disease ; \*Obesity is intended as body mass index >29.



because of the presence of a severe CAD in over 50% (of which 30.8% with three-vessel disease and 3.4% with critical stenosis of unprotected left main) and because of a severe carotid stenotic disease detected by non-invasive means in the remaining 17%.

Overall, 48.6% of patients received a multivessel coronary treatment (215 by CABG), and 4.1% a bilateral carotid revascularisation. CEA was performed under local anaesthesia in 20 patients (10%) and 30.2% of CABG patients (n=65), had off-pump cardiac surgery.

Regarding CAS, a distal protection device was used in 419 patients; 355 in the endovascular group (94%) and 64 (72%) in the hybrid group. A carotid stent was successfully implanted in all patients in the endovascular and hybrid groups.

The occurrence of clinical events comprised in the primary and the secondary endpoints in each treatment group is detailed in Table 1. Overall, the incidence of death, MI and stroke was 0.9%, 1.1% and 2.3%, respectively. Acute kidney injury occurred in 2.4% and major bleedings in 4.4% of patients.

For the purpose of this first analysis of the FRIENDS database, patients were divided into three groups: Surgical, that includes 185 patients (28.3%) from groups 1 to 5 of Table 1, endovascular, 378 patients (57.4%) from groups 6 to 10 of Table 1 and Hybrid, 89 patients (13.5%) form groups 11 and 12 of Table 1. Seven patients (1.0%) were managed medically only and are not described in the statistical analysis.

The comparison of the baseline characteristics of the three groups, clinical outcome, primary and secondary endpoints are shown in Table 3. The primary endpoint of the study occurred in 4.8%, 2.4% and 9.2% respectively, p=0.01. The secondary endpoint, that included also the occurrence of renal or respiratory insufficiency and major bleedings occurred in 10.1%, 6.5% and 25.5% respectively, p<0.001.

Although all the three groups presented important high-risk characteristics, these were sensibly different as expected from the different type and timing of treatment applied.

The surgical group had younger patients, more frequently males, diabetics, with CRI and multivessel CAD. They had a higher mortality (2.7%) and more respiratory complications (2.1%) related to CABG, but despite the high-risk baseline, the incidence of MI, stroke and bleeding was very low. The endovascular group was older, and counted significantly more symptomatic patients on both, the cardiac and the neurologic district. Despite the older age and clinical instability, the incidence of death, MI and stroke was also very low. The hybrid group included more females, and was characterised by a high incidence of multivessel CAD presenting with ACS, and bilateral COD. These patients had more MACCE and in particular a high incidence of major bleeding complications.

Characteristics E	ndovascular group 378 patients 413 procedures	Surgical group 185 patients 188 procedures	Hybrid group 89 patients 98 procedures	P1 Endovascular vs. surgical	P2 Endovascular vs. hybrid
Baseline					
Age	72.3±7.7	69.9±9.6	71.5±8.1	0.005	0.80
Male gender	279(74%)	154(83%)	60(67%)	0.015	0.21
Hypertension	331(87%)	158(85%)	75(84%)	0.49	0.42
Diabetes	132(35%)	78(42%)	22(25%)	0.09	0.07
CRI	36(9%)	58(31%)	4(4%)	<0.001	0.23
LVEF	57.0±5.8	57.3±6.1	53.5±8.9	0.9	<0.001
Multivessel CAD	120(32%)	124(67%)	67(75%)	<0.001	<0.001
Bilateral COD	10(3%)	5(3%)	9(10%)	0.97	0.004
ACS	225(59%)	19(10%)	40(45%)	<0.001	0.01
Neurological sympto	ms 94(25%)	7(4%)	8(9%)	<0.001	<0.001
Clinical outcome					
Death	1 (0.3%)	5(2.7%)	0(0%)	0.006	0.82
ML	1(0.3%)	2(1.1%)	4(4.1%)	0.51	0.009
Stroke	8(1.9%)	2(1.1%)	5(5.1%)	0.49	0.07
TIA	8(1.9%)	0(0%)	3(3.1%)	0.08	0.43
AKI	7(1.7%)	5(2.7%)	4(4.1%)	0.58	0.19
RI	2(0.5%)	4(2.1%)	0(0%)	0.05	0.63
Bleeding (major)	7(1.7%)	3(1.6%)	19(19.38%)	0.92	<0.001
Primary endpoint*	10(2.4%)	9(4.8%)	9(9.2%)	0.29	0.007
Secondary endpoint*	27(6.5%)	19(10.1%)	25(25.5%)	0.006	<0.001
Neuro+ (N112)					
Primary endpoint*	2(2.1%)	1(20.4%)	0	0.1	0.6
Secondary endpoint*	6(6.4%)	1 (20.4%)	1(12.5%)	0.5	0.5

Table 3. Treatment groups and outcomes.

PI and P2 refer to the differences between the endovascular treatment and the surgical and hybrid groups, respectively, as assessed by the Brandt-Snedecor test. ACS: acute coronary syndrome; AK!: acute kidney injury; CAD: coronary artery disease; COD: carotid obstructive disease; CRI: chronic renal insufficiency; L VEF: left ventricular ejection fraction; MI: myocardial infarction; RI: respiratory insufficiency; TIA: transient ischaemic attack ; \*Hierarchical analysis (only one event per patient). Neuro+ means neurologically symptomatic as defined in the methods section.



## Simultaneous versus staged procedures

The comparison of the primary endpoint between 159 patients undergoing a simultaneous revascularisation of the COD and the CAD, versus a staged revascularisation strategy performed in 240 patients yielded no significant difference (logistic regression: OR=1.496; 95%CI=0.680-3.290, p=0.32); while there was a significantly higher incidence of the secondary endpoint for the simultaneous treatment largely driven by bleeding complications and AKI: (logistic regression: OR=1.835; 95%CI= 1.108-3.040, p=0.02).

## Single versus double district procedures

The comparison of the primary endpoint between 399 patients (61.2%) undergoing a double district revascularisation versus a single-district revascularisation (253 patients treated because of the CAD or the COD only and the other district managed medically) yielded a non-significant trend towards a higher incidence of MACCE in the double district group (logistic regression: OR=2.172; 95%CI=0.860-5.486, p=0.1). Such difference was instead highly significant for the secondary endpoint (logistic regression: OR=2.220; 95%CI=1.238-3.981, p=0.007).

## Univariate analysis

Univariate analysis was performed by means of binary logistic regression to test the relation between the clinical and treatment variables and the occurrence of events included in primary and secondary end-points. Results, including also the odds-ratio for each parameter, are shown in Table 4.

At multivariate logistic regression, a previous renal insufficiency (OR=2.517; 95%CI=1.077-5.883, p=0.03) and the treatment group were the only two independent variables associated to the primary endpoint, with an OR=0.369; 95%CI= 0.168-0.813, p=0.01 for the endovascular treatment, and OR=3.098; 95%CI=1.359-7.060, p=0.007 for the hybrid treatment. As to the occurrence of the composite secondary endpoint, age (p=0.04) and treatment group (p=0.001) were the two independent predictors of

Table 4. Univariate analysis (logistic regression).

outcome. When the form of treatment (staged or simultaneous and single or double district) were included in the multivariate model, only the treatment group emerged as an independent predictor of the primary endpoint (p=0.003), with a borderline significance for age (p=0.056).

## Discussion

The dissemination of atherosclerosis in multiple vascular districts denotes an aggressive manifestation of the disease that strongly determines survival. This form of presentation continues to expand in parallel with life expectancy of human beings. In fact, with ageing, atherosclerotic plurivascular disease becomes a leading cause of morbidity needing hospitalisation and care as well as a cause of mortality. Treatment of this disease modality is not standardised and depends largely on local standards and habits. Due to the lack of specific randomised controlled studies, practices in this field are often driven by reports from experts working in centres of excellence, but their results may not easily apply at large<sup>14</sup>.

The FRIENDS collaboration represents one of the largest experiences regarding this matter and as such, it permits to explore the results of different treatment strategies applied to these patients. Bearing in mind the limitations of a non randomised experience, our short-term results suggest the following interpretations. Despite the high risk of a population with plurivascular atherosclerosis, revascularisation techniques applied after a cautious selection of the most suitable strategy, in centres dedicated to vascular care, yield excellent immediate results. The very low incidence of events in our experience is similar to that recently reported from the large carotid revascularisation endarterectomy versus stenting trial (CREST)<sup>15</sup>

It is worth noting that endovascular procedures appear to be particularly appropriate to these complex patients due to their less invasive nature. Indeed, the primary endpoint rate (a composite of death, stroke, or MI within 30 days) was 50% and 70% lower among patients undergoing endovascular procedures than among

Variables	Prim	Primary endpoint		Secondary endpoint	
	р	OR – 95% C.I.	р	OR – 95% C.I.	
Age	0.36	1.023 (0.974-1.075)	0.04	1.034 (1.0011.068)	
LV ejection fraction	0.24	0.969 (0.920-1.021)	0.04	0.965 (0.932-0.999)	
Gender	0.96	0.978 (0.402-2.343)	0.89	1.401 (0.586-1.849)	
Hypertension	0.48	0.698 (0.259-1.884)	0.86	1.070 (0.512-2.233)	
Diabetes mellitus	0.91	0.954 (0.433-2.099)	0.77	0.928 (0.555-1.550)	
Renal insufficiency	0.03	2.517 (1.077-5.883)	0.31	1.394 (0.733-2.650)	
Multivessel disease	0.31	1.489 (0.694-3.195)	0.01	1.912 (1.155-3.165)	
Acute coronary syndrome	0.70	1.160 (0.543-2.475)	0.16	1.418 (0.868-2.318)	
Neurological symptoms	0.38	0.580 (0.172-1.954)	0.32	0.691 (0.333-1.433)	
Treatment group	0.003		<0.001		
Surgical treatment	0.52	1.302 (0.578-2.931)	0.97	0.992 (0.570-1.727)	
Endovascular treatment	0.01	0.369 (0.168-0.813)	<0.001	0.385 (0.232-0.638)	
Hybrid treatment	0.007	3.098 (1.359-7.060)	< 0.001	4.132 (2.397-7.124)	



those undergoing surgical or hybrid procedures, respectively. Surgery is burdened by the complications related to major cardiac operations such as AKI and RI leading to a higher mortality. However, the global outcome of surgery in our experience is excellent, likely due, at least in part, to the use of off-pump techniques by dedicated surgeons in our centres. Indeed, off-pump CABG is known to be associated with a lower incidence of stroke in patients with COD<sup>16</sup> and periprocedural MI in this setting correlates with outcome only if CK rise is >20 times the UNL<sup>17</sup>.

Simultaneous revascularisation procedures, both surgical and endovascular appear to be acceptably safe. Most of the cases in our experience belong to the surgical group in neurologically asymptomatic patients, and results compare favourably with other series<sup>18</sup>. Simultaneous CAD and COD revascularisation by endovascular means has also been reported<sup>19</sup> but experience is limited. However, simultaneous endovascular procedures are burdened by a higher incidence of bleeding and AKI, complications that may influence long-term survival; therefore, whenever possible, staged procedures should be preferred depending on the clinical context, limiting the simultaneous treatment to infrequent cases of unstable clinical presentation in the two territories being refractory to medical therapy. In our experience, most neurologically symptomatic patients were treated with endovascular procedures, often with a simultaneous approach (Table 1).

The co-existence of severe atherosclerotic lesions in the carotid and coronary districts is a common combination of advanced atherosclerosis, and the frequency and severity of vessel involvement revealed in our series is similar to other historical controls<sup>20</sup>. CAD is a major determinant of survival in patients with revascularised COD and therefore myocardial revascularisation in these patients may offer relevant clinical benefits<sup>21</sup>. The treatment of the two vascular districts was safe in terms of MACCE in the short term in our series by either technique, but at the price of a higher incidence of AKI and bleeding. The long-term clinical assessment of these groups is warranted.

Hybrid revascularisation strategies probably permit treatment of difficult and critically ill patients and may represent a reasonable alternative for selected cases if performed by expert teams<sup>22</sup>. However, the hybrid approach is limited, in our experience, by a higher incidence of MACCE and bleeding complications that derive from the acute or unstable clinical presentation on one or both vascular territories, on the one hand, and on the other hand, as a consequence of performing surgery immediately after an endovascular procedure under intensive anticoagulant and antiplatelet regimens. A recent report on 101 patients treated with CAS and immediate CABG compared favourably to our results with a slightly lower incidence of stroke and less bleeding, underscoring the importance of optimising the management of anticoagulation and anti-platelet therapy<sup>22</sup>.

In summary, this first analysis of the FRIENDS collaboration put in evidence the feasibility and short-term safety of a multidisciplinary diagnostic and therapeutic model for the management of patients with complex vascular disease involving simultaneously the carotid and coronary circulation as applied in specialised centres dedicated to vascular care. Endovascular alternatives appear particularly suited in this context largely represented by fragile and critical patients that seem to benefit from the less invasive approach delivered by well indicated and cautiously performed transcatheter procedures. Also, surgical results are excellent.

Patients with multivascular atherosclerotic disease are often treated by different specialists, and due to the lack of clear evidence-based indications, they are frequently advised contradictory alternatives depending on the specialist which leads to different therapeutic decisions in each indivdual case. Cardiologists find themselves at the crossroad of this complex process, not only because of their attitude towards clinical medicine and their knowledge of circulatory physiopathology, but also because of their situation of working in a clinical division with a ward. In this situation they can confront the individual patients and their referring physicians who can then be appropriately informed about therapeutic options. This same situation occurs as well in intensive care units where complex patients can be treated in a comprehensive manner with the assistance of other specialists as needed. Nonetheless, their skills in vascular interventions add further capacity to their interaction with other interventional colleagues, with as a result much better coordination of diagnostic, preventive, and therapeutic strategies. This integrated approach should aim at providing the highest standards of care to these patients by offering them the best exercise of a global vascular approach and when needed, appropriate local vascular interventions.

The assessment of the long-term results of these patients is essential, and our group is committed in obtaining such information for future presentation.

## References

1. Brilakis ES, Hernandez AF, Dai D, Peterson ED, Banerjee S, Fonarow GC, Cannon CP, Bhatt DL. Quality of care for acute coronary syndrome patients with known atherosclerotic disease: results from the Get With the Guidelines Program. *Circulation* 2009;120:560-7.

2. Ness J, Aronow WS. Prevalence of coexistence of coronary artery disease, ischaemic stroke, and peripheral arterial disease in older persons, mean age 80 years, in an academic hospital-based geriatrics practice. *J Am Geriatr Soc.* 1999;47:1255-6.

3. Borger MA, Fremes SE, Weisel RD, Cohen G, Rao V, Lindsay TF, Naylor CD. Coronary bypass and carotid endarterectomy: does a combined approach increase risk? A meta-analysis. *Ann Thorac Surg.* 1999;68:14-20.

4. Van der Heyden J, Suttorp MJ, Bal ET, Ernst JM, Ackerstaff RG, Schaap J, Kelder JC, Schepens M, Plokker HW. Staged carotid angioplasty and stenting followed by cardiac surgery in patients with severe asymptomatic carotid artery stenosis: early and long-term results. *Circulation* 2007;116:2036-42.

5. Ribichini F, Tomai F, Castriota F, Russo P, Reimers B, for the FRIENDS Study group. The gap between vascular interventions and vascular medicine. Editorial. *EuroIntervention* 2010;6:25-7.

6. Sacco RL, Adams R, Albers G, Alberts MJ, Benavente O, Furie K, Goldstein LB, Gorelick P, Halperin J, Harbaugh R, Johnston SC, Katzan I, Kelly-Hayes M, Kenton EJ, Marks M, Schwamm LH, Tomsick T. Guidelines for prevention of stroke in patients with ischaemic stroke or transient ischaemic attack: a statement for healthcare professionals from the American Heart Association/American Stroke Association Council on



Stroke: co-sponsored by the Council on Cardiovascular Radiology and Intervention: the American Academy of Neurology affirms the value of this guideline. *Circulation* 2006;113:e409-e449.

7. K/DOQI clinical practice guidelines for chronic kidney disease: evaluation, classification, and stratification. National Kidney Foundation. *Am J Kidney Dis* 2002;39:S1-266

8. Kushner FG, Hand M, Smith SC Jr, King SB 3rd, Anderson JL, Antman EM, Bailey SR, Bates ER, Blankenship JC, Casey DE Jr, Green LA, Hochman JS, Jacobs AK, Krumholz HM, Morrison DA, Ornato JP, Pearle DL, Peterson ED, Sloan MA, Whitlow PL, Williams DO. 2009 focused updates: ACC/AHA guidelines for the management of patients with ST-elevation myocardial infarction (updating the 2004 guideline and 2007 focused update) and ACC/AHA/SCAI guidelines on percutaneous coronary intervention (updating the 2005 guideline and 2007 focused update) a report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2009;54:2205-41.

9. Cremonesi A, Gieowarsingh S, Spagnolo B, Manetti R, Liso A, Furgieri A, Barattoni MC, Ghetti L, Tavazzi L, Castriota F. Safety, efficacy and long-term durability of endovascular therapy for carotid artery disease: the tailored-Carotid Artery Stenting Experience of a single high-volume centre (tailored-CASE Registry). *EuroIntervention* 2009;5:589-98.

10. Yadav JS, Wholey MH, Kuntz RE, Fayad P, Katzen BT, Mishkel GJ, Bajwa TK, Whitlow P, Strickman NE, Jaff MR, Popma JJ, Snead DB, Cutlip DE, Firth BG, Ouriel K. Protected carotid-artery stenting versus endarterectomy in high-risk patients. *N Engl J Med.* 2004;351:1493-1501.

11. Johnston SC, Nguyen-Huynh MN, Schwarz ME, Fuller K, Williams CE, Josephson SA, Hankey GJ, Hart RG, Levine SR, Biller J, Brown RD Jr, Sacco RL, Kappelle LJ, Koudstaal PJ, Bogousslavsky J, Caplan LR, van Gijn J, Algra A, Rothwell PM, Adams HP,Albers GW. National Stroke Association guidelines for the management of transient ischemic attacks. *Ann Neurol.* 2006; 60:301-313.

12. Warnock DG. Acute kidney injury: where's the consensus about its definition? *Nephrol Dial Transplant.* 2010;25:9-11.

13. Stone GW, McLaurin BT, Cox DA, Bertrand ME, Lincoff AM, Moses JW, White HD, Pocock SJ, Ware JH, Feit F, Colombo A, Aylward PE, Cequier AR, Darius H, Desmet W, Ebrahimi R, Hamon M, Rasmussen LH, Rupprecht HJ, Hoekstra J, Mehran R, Ohman EM; ACUITY Investigators.

Bivalirudin for patients with acute coronary syndromes. *N Engl J Med.* 2006;355:2203-16.

14. Roffi M. Management of patients with concomitant severe coronary and carotid artery disease. Is there a perfect solution? *Circulation* 2007;116:2002-2004.

15. Brott TG, Hobson II RW, Howard G, Gary PH, Roubin S, Clark WM, Brooks W, Mackey A, Hill MD, Leimgruber PP, Sheffet AJ, Howard VJ, Moore WS, Voeks JH, Hopkins LN; Cutlip DE, Cohen DJ, Popma JJ, Ferguson RD, Cohen SN, Blackshear JL, Silver FL, Mohr JP, Lal BK, Meschia JF, for the CREST Investigators. Stenting versus Endoarterectomy for Treament of Carotid-Artery Stenosis. *N Engl J Med.* 2010 May 26 (Epub ahead of print).

16. Brizzio ME, Zapolanski A, Shaw RE, Sperling JS, Mindich BP. Stroke-related mortality in coronary surgery is reduced by the off-pump approach. *Ann Thorac Surg.* 2010;89:19-23.

17. Vanden Eynden F, Cartier R, Marcheix B, Demers P, Bouchard D. Prognosis of perioperative myocardial infarction after off-pump coronary artery bypass surgery. *J Cardiovasc Surg.* 2009;50:535-43.

18. Kolh PH, Comte L, Tchana-Sato V, Honore C, Kerzmann A, Mauer M, Limet R. Concurrent coronary and carotid artery surgery: factors influencing perioperative outcome and long-term results. *Eur Heart J*. 2006;27:49-56.

19. Hofmann R, Kerschner K, Kypta A, Steinwender C, Bibl D, Leisch F. Simultaneous stenting of the carotid artery and other coronary or extracoronary arteries: does a combined procedure increase the risk of interventional therapy? *Catheter Cardiovasc Interv.* 2003;60:314-9.

20. Hofmann R, Kypta A, Steinwender C, Kerschner K, Grund M, Leisch F. Coronary angiography in patients undergoing carotid artery stenting shows a high incidence of significant coronary artery disease. *Heart* 2005;91:1438-41.

21. Hofmann R, Kypta A, Steinwender C, Kammler J, Kerschner K, Grund M, Leisch F. Mid-term outcome after carotid artery stenting depends on presence of coronary artery disease. *Ann Med.* 2006;38:137-43.

22. Versaci F, Reimers B, Del Giudice C, Schofer J, Giacomin A, Saccà S, Gandini R, Albiero R, Pellegrino A, Bertoldo F, Simonetti G, Chiariello L. Simultaneous hybrid revascularization by carotid stenting and coronary artery bypass grafting: the SHARP study. *JACC Cardiovasc Interv.* 2009;5:393-401.

