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Abstract

Catheter-based treatment of structural heart diseases (SHD) has seen tremendous advances in the past decades, thanks to the development of new devices and advances in imaging techniques. Today, we have an extensive armamentarium of imaging tools for preprocedural planning, intraprocedural guidance and follow-up of SHD. Intraprocedural guidance is based mainly on transoesophageal echocardiography; however, other imaging modalities are used as complementary or alternative techniques, each of them with its strengths and weaknesses. Thus, a multimodality imaging approach provides added values in this setting. As the field of imaging parallels the continuous technical improvements, this review will describe the state of the art imaging techniques, focusing on echocardiography during procedural guidance of the most common catheter-based interventions, providing tips and tricks for interventional cardiologists: in particular, how to guide transseptal crossing; left atrial appendage closure; transcatheter mitral or tricuspid valve repair or replacement; percutaneous closure of patent foramen ovale and atrial defects; and percutaneous closure of paravalvular leaks. Open challenges for the near future are the need for physicians with specific technical skills and competencies in SHD imaging, more attention to high levels of radiation exposure, and optimisation of intraprocedural and post-procedural evaluation.
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Abbreviations

3D three-dimensional
3DE 3D echocardiography
AP anteroposterior
ASD atrial septal defect
CAU caudal
CRA cranial
CS coronary sinus
CT computed tomography
FO fossa ovalis
IAS interatrial septum
ICE intracardiac echocardiography
LA left atrium
LAA left atrial appendage
LAX long axis
LV left ventricle
LVOT left ventricular outflow
MPR multiplanar reconstruction
MR mitral regurgitation
MV mitral valve
PISA proximal isovelocity surface area
PVL paravalvular leak
RAO right anterior oblique
RCA right coronary artery
RV right ventricular
SAX short axis
SHD structural heart diseases
TCD transcranial Doppler
TMVI transcatheter mitral valve interventions
TOE transoesophageal echocardiography
TR tricuspid regurgitation
TSP transseptal puncture
TTE transthoracic echocardiography
TV tricuspid valve
VSD ventricular septal defects

Introduction

The past two decades have seen tremendous advances in the catheter-based treatment of structural heart diseases (SHD) thanks to the development of new devices with improved performance and advances in imaging techniques.

Cardiac imaging in the catheter-based treatment of SHD has come a long way in the past 70 years (Figure 1). Indeed, since the pioneering work by Rubio-Alvares and Limon in 1952 to relieve critical pulmonic valve stenosis, when a simple chest
X-ray was the only modality allowing visualisation of the heart, a cascade of procedural and technological advances has followed. Transthoracic echocardiography (TTE) first appeared in the cath lab in the 1980s. Two-dimensional (2D) transoesophageal echocardiography (TOE) started to be developed in the late 1970s and made its first appearance as intraprocedural imaging guidance in 1990. Throughout the past three decades, TOE technology has progressed from single-plane to three-dimensional (3D) transducers. It has become the leading preprocedural and intraprocedural cardiac imaging modality in SHD interventions. At the same time, other alternative/complementary imaging tools to conventional TOE have been developed and introduced, such as the micro TOE probe and intracardiac echocardiography (ICE). The micro TOE probe (S8-3t microTOE probe [Philips Healthcare]; ClariTEE [ImaCor]) can be introduced transorally or transnasally using local anaesthesia only, and offers sufficient image quality compared to conventional TOE and ICE. Promising results have been reported to guide transseptal puncture (TSP) and left atrial appendage (LAA) closure. ICE may have some advantages over TOE: it is more comfortable for the patient, avoids the use of general anaesthesia and potentially allows a single-operator procedure. In recent years, advances in technology have allowed the development and successful implementation of real-time 3D ultrasound in ICE, which is crucial for applications in SHD procedures such as TSP, LAA closure, transcatheter tricuspid interventions, etc.

Today, we undoubtedly have an armamentarium of imaging tools providing excellent imaging quality. However, each of them has its strengths and weaknesses. Therefore, multimodality imaging utilising several imaging techniques together may provide added value in terms of procedural planning and efficacy by combining the individual strength of each imaging modality. Recently, fusion imaging as a combined “hybrid” procedure of several imaging modalities has gained attention. Its major advantages include the optimised multidimensional view with an excellent spatial resolution, anatomic orientation, visualisation of soft tissue onto fluoroscopic view, allowing better procedural navigation with fluoroscopy and with less use of contrast medium.

The last frontier of interventional imaging is to overcome the way 3D images are displayed and to increase the ability of interacting with them. The images acquired are usually presented on 2D displays, thereby limiting their usefulness and the ability to interact with them. Holographic images, created in real time from the volumetric data, which float in the air during the procedure in front of the operator and above the patient, could provide an intuitive and interactive display for the interventionalist, and may improve procedure outcomes.

This review will describe the use of imaging techniques, focusing attention on echocardiography during procedural guidance of the most common catheter-based interventions of SHD, providing some tips and tricks for the practical interventional cardiologist.

TRANSSEPTAL PUNCTURE

The transseptal crossing is the common front door for many left-side catheter-based procedures. Experienced operators may safely perform a TSP using only fluoroscopy. On the other hand, imaging

---

**CURRENT STATUS and STRENGTHS**

- Echocardiography is central for:
  - Decision making
  - Preprocedural planning
  - Intraprocedural guidance
  - Post-procedural follow-up

**FUTURE DIRECTIONS**

- SHD interventional imagers
- Specific imaging tools for different components
- Accurate evaluation of procedural results and new software for quantification

Central illustration. Echocardiographic guidance for transcatheter structural cardiac interventions.
guidance mainly performed by TOE is mandatory for a targeted/site-specific TSP for the majority of structural interventions, and it is also helpful during the initial phase of training.

**PROCEDURAL STEPS AND IMAGING GUIDANCE**

The interatrial septum (IAS) may be displayed by fluoroscopy and TOE in different anatomical projections and perspectives to define the optimal target for TSP (Figure 2).

The procedural steps are described in Figure 3 and Supplementary Table 1.

TOE is of the utmost importance to identify complications promptly, e.g., cardiac perforation (right and/or left atrium or the aorta), thrombus formation, or ST-segment elevation (due to air embolism in the right coronary).

Fusion imaging can provide added value during TSP as follows (Figure 4A-Figure 4D)

1. No predefined fluoroscopic angulation is anatomically consistent in all patients. Thus, fusion imaging may be useful for achieving a patient-tailored fluoroscopic view.
2. The catheter and the fossa ovalis (FO) are simultaneously visualised on the fluoroscopic screen, allowing operators to understand the spatial position of the catheter according to superior-inferior and anterior-posterior directions.
3. The downwards pull of the catheter towards the IAS can be monitored by the 2D bicaval view superimposed on the left anterior oblique (LAO) projection, in which the side-on profile of the IAS is well visualised and the tenting can be clearly appreciated. Alternatively, the tenting can be looked for by cropping a 3D volume dataset until the tenting becomes visible in the lateral perspective.

In addition, there are options to superimpose onto the fluoroscopic view the anatomical models of relevant structures based on a co-registered TOE sequence (Figure 4E, Figure 4F).

**LEFT ATRIAL APPENDAGE CLOSURE**

LAA closure aims to prevent stroke in patients with non-valvular atrial fibrillation by excluding the LAA from systemic circulation. Echocardiographic imaging is of paramount importance for correct procedural planning, safety and procedural success.

---

**Figure 2.** Recommended imaging modalities to display IAS. A) Anteroposterior projection: the IAS is displayed in an oblique perspective corresponding to the 3D oblique perspective from right atrium (H). B) Right anterior oblique projection: the IAS is displayed in en face perspective, corresponding to the 3D en face view from the right atrium (I). C) Left anterior oblique projection: the side-on profile of the IAS corresponding to either the 3D lateral perspective (J) or 2D bicaval view (90-120°) (D). D) Superior-inferior orientation. E) Short-axis (SAX) view at the base (30-50°) for anterior-posterior orientation. F) Biplane view that displays both the bicaval and the SAX view simultaneously. G) Four-chamber view (0°) to determine the height above the mitral valve.
PROCEDURAL PLANNING

2D and 3D TOE has traditionally been the cornerstone of preprocedural evaluation and procedural planning for LAA closure. It allows an accurate description of the morphological features of the LA and the LAA, measurement of the dimensions of the ostium and the length of LAA, assessment of the number and configuration of its lobes and the presence of intraluminal thrombi, as well as providing relevant information on the surrounding structures12 (Figure 5).

Computed tomography (CT) has been increasingly used for preprocedural evaluation of LAA closure due to its high spatial resolution. Thanks to 3D volume rendering and multiplanar reconstruction (MPR), the interventionalist is not only able to reconstruct the three-dimensional anatomy of the pertinent structures and obtain precise LAA ostial dimensions, but can also calculate the most convenient fluoroscopic projections to use during the procedure, and potentially spare X-ray exposure and contrast medium usage. Moreover, CT is highly sensitive in excluding the presence of intraluminal filling defects13.

In order to select the proper device, the LAA dimensions and morphology need to be thoroughly assessed during the preprocedural planning, and confirmed intraprocedurally after ensuring adequate volume repletion of the patient to avoid undersizing of the device14. Device sizing is calculated using different segments according to the type of the device (Figure 6). However, the dimensions of the LAA ostium (the most proximal portion of the LAA, defined by an imaginary line connecting the axial image of left circumflex artery and the most proximal portion of the Marshall band), the neck (the tubular portion of the LAA approximately 1 cm distal to the ostium) and the depth of the LAA are the parameters necessary for appropriate device sizing. Any proximally originating lobes or accessory lobes and trabeculations should be reported as they may interfere with the device positioning guidance and mislead the interventionalist to attempt to release the device more distally or proximally than usual.

PROCEDURAL STEPS AND IMAGING GUIDANCE

The procedure is usually guided by fluoroscopy and TOE; ICE can be used instead of TOE in selected cases.
Figure 4. Fusion imaging guidance of TSP. A) Patient-tailored anteroposterior (AP) fluoroscopic view “fused” with a 2D bicaval view of the IAS, showing the dilator coursing from the SVC to the FO. B) AP fluoroscopic view “fused” with a 3D en face view of the IAS from the RA, showing the fine position of the catheter tip into the FO. C) 3D lateral perspective of the IAS superimposed on an AP fluoroscopic projection showing the tenting (*). D) 3D oblique perspective of the IAS superimposed on an AP fluoroscopic view showing septal crossing. E) & F) Heart models obtained from 3D data sets and superimposed on the fluoroscopic view. The FO is identified by a yellow circle. Ao: aorta; AP: anteroposterior; FO: fossa ovalis; LA: left atrium; RA: right atrium; SVC: superior vena cava
The sequential steps are described in **Figure 7** and **Supplementary Table 2**.

TSP (performed as previously described) is required to access the LA. An inferior and posterior puncture site may normally facilitate the manoeuvres towards the LAA. However, a perfect alignment between the TSP needle and the LAA is desirable but not mandatory, as a correction of the trajectory is normally possible by manoeuvring the device delivery system. Entering the LA through a patent foramen ovale (PFO) is generally not advisable due to an unfavourable trajectory, thus the interventionalist should
be informed if PFO crossing is suspected. After access to the LA is obtained, the LAA can be angiographically imaged by power injections through the pigtail catheter.

For the subsequent manoeuvres of stiff guidewire and delivery sheath positioning, right anterior oblique (RAO) projections at approximately 40° or RAO caudal (CAU) 20° projection (usually the one that best depicts the LAA) is chosen.

After the device has been released, echocardiography allows checking for correct device placement according to device-specific criteria. The residual leak in the LAA can be assessed with colour Doppler by lowering the Nyquist limit to 20-30 cm/sec\textsuperscript{15}. Conventionally, a jet flow ≥5 mm will be considered significant and might prompt device resheathing and repositioning\textsuperscript{15}.

Fusion imaging seems helpful during all steps of the LAA occlusion procedure in terms of radiation exposure and fluoroscopy time and avoids continuous probe manipulation (Figure 8A-Figure 8C)\textsuperscript{16,17}.

ICE provides intracavitary images of the LAA. From the baseline “home view”, the probe can be manoeuvred posteriorly to image the IAS and guide the TSP. Although imaging the LAA with the ICE probe in the right atrium, coronary sinus, right ventricle or in the pulmonary artery is possible\textsuperscript{18}, in order to obtain adequate pictures the ICE probe needs to be positioned in the LA. ICE can be introduced using two separate TSP punctures or, more often\textsuperscript{19}, if a single TSP technique is used, the delivery sheath is used to dilate the TSP and the ICE catheter is advanced in the LA along the stiff guidewire\textsuperscript{20}. The LAA can then be imaged from various positions within the LA for procedural guidance (Figure 8D, Figure 8E). If intraprocedural ICE is used and preprocedural TOE avoided, the patient should undergo preprocedural CT evaluation before the procedure for a completely TOE-free procedure.

**FOLLOW-UP**

The patient usually undergoes a TTE before discharge in order to check for possible complications such as pericardial effusion or mitral valve (MV) damage. The occluder device might be indirectly visualised inside the LA as a metallic artefact\textsuperscript{21}. No consensus or guidelines are available regarding the imaging follow-up after an LAA closure procedure (modality and frequency). Usually, imaging follow-up is performed by TOE; however, CT is also useful for post-procedural surveillance\textsuperscript{22}. Follow-up involves checking for the correct position of the device, the formation of atrial-side device residual leak (patency) into the LAA, device embolisation and pericardial effusion. It should be noted that CT appears more sensitive than TOE in detecting leaks after device implantation\textsuperscript{21}.

**TRANSCATHETER MITRAL VALVE INTERVENTIONS**

Transcatheter mitral valve interventions (TMVI), either repairing or replacing the MV, are established alternative options for patients with mitral regurgitation (MR) who are not suitable for conventional open heart surgery. Available transcatheter therapeutic options are:

- **Leaflet repair**: an edge-to-edge repair procedure which mimics the surgical one by approximating MV leaflets together at the site of regurgitation.
- **Chordal repair**: adjustable transapical beating-heart artificial chordal implantation.
Echocardiographic guidance in transcatheter structural cardiac interventions

Annular repair: percutaneous direct or indirect annuloplasty aiming to reduce annular dimensions and improve leaflet coaptation.

MV replacement.

PREPROCEDURAL EVALUATION

Preprocedural evaluation aims to evaluate MR severity and the functional anatomy of the MV in order to choose the best therapeutic option. In particular, leaflet repair is mainly indicated in functional MR due to leaflet tethering or degenerative MR, chordal implantation in patients with leaflet prolapse, annuloplasty devices for functional MR due to annular dilatation and, in case of complex mechanisms not suitable for MV repair, MV replacement may be an alternative option24.

TTE is the first-line imaging technique to rule out potential candidates for percutaneous intervention. In case of possible suitability for TMVI, TOE is mandatory to evaluate carefully the MV anatomy and the mechanism of regurgitation. In addition, for therapeutic approaches targeting the annulus, and for MV replacement, CT evaluation is mandatory for annular sizing and evaluating morphological characteristics in terms of calcifications, spatial relationships with surrounding structures, and the risk of left ventricular outflow tract (LVOT) obstruction.

TRANSCATHETER LEAFLET APPROXIMATION

Two devices are currently available: MitraClip (Abbott Laboratories) and PASCAL devices (Edwards Lifesciences).

Figure 8. LAA closure procedural steps. A-C) Fusion imaging and D-E) ICE guidance. A) Heart model. Catheter inside the LAA. B & C) LAA is displayed in 3D rendering. B) Lobe expansion. C) Disc opening. D & E) ICE guidance during LAA occlusion. The ICE probe in the left atrium is showing the device on the delivery cable (D) and the device in its final position (E). ICE: intracardiac echocardiography; LAA: left atrial appendage

Echocardiographic assessment of functional anatomy and determination of the mechanism of MR are mandatory for patient selection. Based on the morphologic characteristics of the MV, we may identify potential candidates for edge-to-edge MV repair with different favourable/unfavourable features (Supplementary Table 3).

PROCEDURAL STEPS AND IMAGING GUIDANCE

Under general anaesthesia, echocardiography and fluoroscopy guide the procedural steps (Figure 9, Figure 10, Supplementary Table 4).

1. The TSP needs to be tailored to the procedure: usually it should be in the inferior-posterior aspect of the fossa ovalis. Moreover, lower TSP sites are required for lateral regurgitant jets, while higher ones are needed for medial jets in order not to fall below the MV when deflecting the system. The guide catheter is then inserted into the LA. Disappearance of tenting on the IAS is an indicator of complete crossing: the dilator can be removed when the guide catheter is at least 2 cm across the IAS. Afterwards, the delivery system is advanced into the LA.

2. The system is then steered towards the MV over the target lesion. The trajectory should ensure perpendicularity to the MV plane: misalignment can affect both the symmetry and efficacy of the grasping.

3. Perpendicularity is usually guided in biplane imaging (commisural and long-axis view) and should be achieved in both views.

Once the appropriate axial alignment is achieved over the target lesion, the device arms are opened and oriented perpendicularly to the coaptation line.

4. The system is then advanced below the MV with arms partially open (60°) and with the paddles fully open in the MitraClip and PASCAL procedure, respectively. Once below the MV plane, the arms are fully opened, and correct positioning and orientation is rechecked as the implant may rotate when crossing the MV. Inside the left ventricle (LV), any needed changes in the orientation of the arms should be minimal; in case of significant orientation adjustment, the device should be inverted and withdrawn back into the LA. By retracting the system back, once both leaflets are visualised over the arms, the grippers are lowered down and leaflets grasped. If the implant appears properly positioned, the implant can be fully closed.

5. Adequate leaflet insertion is evaluated by direct and indirect signs. The best result should be a balance between iatrogenic stenosis and adequate reduction of MR, without distortion of the coaptation line. An MV area ≤1.5 cm² and a transmitral pressure gradient >5 mmHg indicate significant mitral stenosis. Once the result is satisfactory, the device is detached from the delivery system.

The evaluation of residual MR after edge-to-edge is very challenging. The evaluation of residual MR should be performed under haemodynamic conditions similar to baseline using a multiparametric approach and classified in a 4-grade system (1+ to 4+) (Supplementary Table 5).

6. After release of the device, the delivery catheter is withdrawn into the guide catheter, which is maintained in the LA, as a second clip implantation may be required. If no additional clip is needed, the system can be removed. After system removal, the residual shunt and size of iatrogenic atrial septal defect (ASD) should be evaluated. The defect is usually clinically insignificant.

TOE is fundamental to recognise complications promptly, e.g., intracardiac thrombosis on devices, cardiac tamponade, worsening of MR (leaflet or chordal damage, loss of leaflet insertion or partial clip detachment) and iatrogenic mitral stenosis.

ICE could be an alternative imaging tool in case of contraindication to TOE, although experience is limited. TSP guidance may be performed with the catheter in the right atrium, while the remaining procedural steps require the position of the catheter in the mid-right ventricle and the outflow tract. ICE images allow good visualisation especially of the central portion of the valve and they are useful to detect complications.

Although this procedure is effectively guided by 2D/3D TOE and fluoroscopy, echocardiographic-fluoroscopic fusion imaging may potentially have additional value (Figure 11). Fusion imaging may be helpful in:

- Guiding TSP
- Navigating into the LA
- Assessing device trajectory and alignment
- Co-localising the regurgitant jet

## TRANSCATHETER ANNULOPLASTY

### DIRECT ANNULOPLASTY

The Cardioban device (Edwards Lifesciences) is an incomplete adjustable surgical-like Dacron band, transseptally delivered, implanted on the posterior annulus from the anterolateral to posteromedial commissure.

The procedure is heavily dependent on pre-interventional screening based on echocardiography and CT scan, in order to assess: (i) technical feasibility, based on the relationship between circumflex artery and posterior annulus; (ii) annulus sizing and thickness; and (iii) the anatomy of the LA and IAS. Preprocedural CT also provides the coordinates for the procedure and the expected fluoroscopic projections.

### INTRAPROCEDURAL MONITORING

The optimal site for the TSP is predefined by CT planning, providing distances from the muscular part, from the aorta, and the height measured from the annular plane (>3.5 cm from the annular plane). The puncture site must be straight above the posteromedial commissure.

The sequential steps are described in Figure 12, Supplementary Table 6.

The steering and navigation of the implant delivery system along the posterior annulus is guided by different 3D perspectives and the fluoroscopic LAO CAU view. After reaching the target point, RAO projection, several 2D and biplane views or MPR are used to assess the proper distance from the hinge point of the posterior leaflet and the device angulation for fine adjustment, and for checking proper anchoring via a push-and-pull test. Coronary angiography rules out the risk of injury to the circumflex artery.

The first anchor should be positioned as anterior as possible and close to the anterolateral trigone. The Cardioban implant is navigated to the next anchoring point along the posterior annulus until it reaches the posterior trigone. After the last anchor deployment and disconnection of the device, the size adjustment tool is inserted and connected to the spool of the implant, that is then contracted while reduction of MR severity and annulus size are monitored.

A multiparametric approach provides the most appropriate method to characterise and quantify residual MR, the possible iatrogenic stenosis, and the mitral annular remodelling.

Fusion imaging may be helpful in the different procedural steps:

- TSP
- Navigation within the LA – the perimeter of the annulus is visible on the fluoroscopy
- Implant deployment – correct orientation of the catheter with respect to the annular plane and its position in relation to the leaflets hinge – relationship between tissue, anchors and coronary artery
- Implant size adjustment/cinching – modification of annular size taking into account both the reduction of the distance among the radiopaque anchors and the reduction of the annular tissue
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Tricuspid regurgitation (TR) is a frequent finding on routine echocardiography. In the Framingham Heart Study it was present in more than 80% of the cohort. The prevalence of more than moderate TR increases with ageing, reaching up to 1.5% in men and 5.6% in women over seventy. A mild degree is considered benign, but moderate and severe TR are associated with worse outcome.

**Figure 11.** Fusion imaging with heart model in the MitraClip procedure – essential steps. A) Navigation into the LA. B) Steering and positioning the DC towards the MV. C) The jet is displayed on the fluoroscopic screen and used as a reference marker (target lesion).
Echocardiographic guidance in transcatheter structural cardiac interventions

The optimal timing of intervention remains unclear, although it should be carried out sufficiently early to avoid irreversible right ventricular (RV) dysfunction.34

In severe primary TR, surgery is not only recommended in symptomatic patients but also in asymptomatic patients when progressive RV dilatation or decline in RV function occurs. In severe secondary TR, tricuspid repair during left-sided surgery has been demonstrated to provide reverse RV remodelling and improvement of functional status. To improve the prognosis, the treatment of severe late TR following left-sided valve surgery should be considered earlier, even in asymptomatic patients, if there are signs of progressive RV dilatation or decline in RV function.

Surgical tricuspid valve (TV) annuloplasty is the gold standard in many cases. Although well-established risk models exist for several other cardiac operations, no well-established risk model exists for the performance of isolated TV operations. The only available score has been proposed by LaPar et al.35, who developed parsimonious clinical risk models for operative mortality and major morbidity after isolated TV operations. The factors most strongly associated with mortality include preoperative haemodialysis requirement, advanced lung disease, NYHA Functional Class and performance of emergent operations, highlighting the central clinical message that early referral for the performance of TV operations has the potential to reduce surgical mortality and morbidity for these patients.35

Several transcatheter techniques are currently in bench and early clinical testing as alternative options. The number of patients treated with these techniques is still limited and data on long-term outcomes are missing. Current studies aim to address the question of whether interventional TR treatment provides an alternative option for patients with severe functional TR, refractory symptoms of RV failure and prohibitive surgical risk.

PREPROCEDURAL EVALUATION

Multimodality imaging is essential for patient selection as well as procedural planning, with a systematic approach for identifying the mechanism and severity of TR, as well as for determining the suitability of catheter-based interventional therapies. The three TV leaflets cannot be visualised simultaneously with 2D echocardiography, and therefore, 3D echocardiography is recommended to completely evaluate the TV.36

TTE is the first-line imaging test for evaluating the TV. Due to the anterior location of the valve, its transthoracic visualisation is of good quality.

Figure 12. Cardioband procedure in the mitral valve. A) Baseline evaluation. B) TSP: overhead perspective of the LA showing tenting with respect to the posteromedial commissure. C) System navigation around the target zone guided by 3D perspectives and LAO CAU fluoroscopic projection. D) Visualisation of the contact of IC with the annulus by biplane view and MPR. E) RAO projection used for implant and pull test. F) Size adjustment tool (SAT) insertion. G) 3D en face view of MV after SAT removal showing the implant as an incomplete surgical annuloplasty. H) LAO before cinching. I) After cinching. J) Final result. IC: implant catheter; LA: left atrium MPR: MV: mitral valve; TSP: transseptal puncture.
With a view to repairing TR with a transcatheter therapy, it is important to grade the TR severity. In advanced TR there are more degrees of severity than the guidelines describe. Therefore, alternative grading schemes with expansion of the “severe” grade have been described to quantify more accurately baseline TR severity, prognosis and the response to treatment47.

TOE may provide additional information38. Multiple acoustic windows acquired from several depths and plane angles, along with the use of simultaneous biplane imaging, are needed to completely visualise the TV39 (Supplementary Table 7).

Standardisation of the TV 3D image display is essential to facilitate communication between the echocardiographer and the interventional cardiologist. In the “en face perspective” of the TV, the valve should be oriented with the aortic valve at the 10 o’clock position and the inferior vena cava at the 7 o’clock40.

After echo screening, CT is mandatory for patient selection and procedural planning for specific procedures (annuloplasty and replacement). This allows accurate measurement of the TV annulus, device landing zone, relationship between the annulus and the right coronary artery, and annular tissue quantity and quality.

Patients with severe leaflet tethering are too advanced and may not benefit from TV surgical annuloplasty repair. The surgical experience of valve repair has shown that factors associated with failed annuloplasty are a tenting height ≥0.8 cm, a tenting volume ≥2 cm³ and a tenting area ≥1.8 cm². In a similar way, a coaptation depth <10 mm, a central or anterosetal jet location, as well as a coaptation gap of less than about 7 mm have been identified as independent predictors of procedural success for transcatheter interventions. In addition, reduction of TR of less than one grade and elevated pulmonary pressures are independent predictors of mortality45. Pulmonary hypertension (PH) frequently coexists with severe TR and is associated with more severe right and LV heart failure and a higher preoperative risk. Echocardiographic assessment of pulmonary artery pressure (PAP) is of limited diagnostic value for the presence of PH. In fact, sensitivity and specificity to accurately detect invasively confirmed PH in patients with severe TR are low42. Patients with both invasively and echocardiographically detected PH had similar outcomes to patients without invasive PH in terms of hard clinical endpoints, whereas invasive PH and a false negative echocardiographic PH had the worst outcomes42. Moreover, evaluation of a pulmonary haemodynamic profile further stratifies the risk of patients undergoing transcatheter intervention45. The risk for mortality is only moderately increased in patients with postcapillary PH compared to patients without PH45. In contrast, a significant precapillary contribution to PH was demonstrated to be a high-risk constellation and was associated with increased mortality after a transcatheter approach43. On the basis of these findings, patients categorised into the postcapillary PH group benefit from transcatheter intervention44. In contrast, patients with a significant precapillary contribution to PH require different or complementary therapeutic strategies to a transcatheter approach45. Finally, patients with increased RV stroke work seem to be at higher risk of mortality after a transcatheter approach45.

There are different transcatheter treatment options. The selection of the device is based on the severity of annular dilation, jet location, leaflet tethering and retraction, as well as TOE imaging quality. Patients with predominant annular dilation and reasonable leaflet tethering are theoretically the best candidates for either an annuloplasty device (Cardioband or Tricinch [4TECH Cardio Ltd.]) or leaflet approximation systems44-46. Annuloplasty might be preferred for treatment of a central jet, while leaflet approximation is a good option for commissural TR. Patients presenting with very advanced RV remodelling, severe leaflet tethering/retraction or large coaptation gap may be evaluated for replacement46. Good quality TOE transgastric views are a prerequisite for candidacy for a leaflet approach.

PROCEDURAL GUIDANCE

Transcatheter tricuspid interventions are always performed under fluoroscopic and TOE guidance. If a suboptimal acoustic window is detected during procedural planning, multimodality imaging combining TTE, TOE and ICE should be considered to ensure the best quality intraprocedural guidance46-47.

DIRECT ANNULOPLASTY

During the implantation of annuloplasty devices, it is essential to visualise the annulus. The best TOE planes are the mid-oesophageal view (at 90°), the deep oesophageal view (at 0°), and the transgastric view (at 0° with simultaneous biplane imaging). For Cardioband implantation, TOE is essential to identify the angulation between the anchors and the annulus (as the implant of the anchors must be perpendicular) and the muscular tissue where the screw is implanted (Figure 13, Supplementary Table 8). The result is measured by the reduction of the tricuspid annulus and the TR.

LEAFLET APPROACH

The rationale behind TV leaflet approximation (TriClip [Abbott Laboratories] and PASCAL systems) is to restore coaptation between leaflets and reduce the regurgitant orifice area. The procedural steps and imaging guidance are shown in Figure 14-16 and Supplementary Table 9.

Assessing the results using echocardiography can be challenging, especially when multiple TR jets are created46. Theoretically, only the proximal isovelocity surface area (PISA) method, the volumetric methods and the 3D vena contracta area are appropriate for the quantitative evaluation of multiple regurgitant orifice by summation. Additionally, useful findings are the presence of changes of the hepatic vein flow patterns.

CT-fluoroscopy fusion imaging is another useful tool for assessment of eligibility for tricuspid transcatheter interventions and it can also serve as a guide to determine the optimal site. It provides an interesting tool for procedural planning and guidance47.
PATIENT FORAMEN OVALE AND ATRIAL SEPTAL DEFECT CLOSURE

PFO is a common finding affecting approximately 25% of the general population\(^4^8\). In some patients it may, however, have a pathophysiologic role in causing cryptogenic stroke, migraine or hypoxaemia due to right-to-left shunting\(^4^9^-^5^3\).

Correct identification and description of a right-to-left shunt across a PFO is mandatory before percutaneous closure is attempted.

Figure 13. Cardioband procedure in the tricuspid valve. A) Baseline evaluation. B) Navigation of delivery system along the tricuspid annulus. C) 3D MPR for fine evaluation of the delivery catheter on the annulus. D) Right coronary angiography to assess injury of the RCA during anchor implantation. E) Final result. MPR: multiplanar reconstruction; RCA: right coronary artery

Contrast-enhanced transcranial Doppler (TCD) or contrast-enhanced TTE are common first-line examinations that carry a sensitivity of 94% and 88%, respectively, and a specificity of 92% and 82%, respectively. According to a recent European position paper on PFO closure, contrast-enhanced TCD should be performed in case of a negative or equivocal contrast TTE. If a right-to-left shunt is confirmed,
a contrast-enhanced TOE should be performed in order to confirm the exact location of the shunt and PFO anatomy.

An ostium secundum represents the only type of ASD that can be closed percutaneously in the majority of cases. Anecdotal cases of percutaneous closure of other ASD types have been reported. Percutaneous closure of an ASD is considered the first-choice treatment for ostium secundum ASD. Exclusion criteria for percutaneous treatment are: 1) stretched defect diameter greater than 38 mm; 2) partial anomalous venous connection requiring surgical repair; and 3) absence or partial deficit (<5 mm) of rims with the exception of the aortic one.

PROCEDURAL STEPS AND IMAGING GUIDANCE

Percutaneous PFO closure usually involves a double disc device or a suture-mediated device and can be performed using fluoroscopy only. However, echographic guidance is recommended to monitor the procedure in order to increase its safety, efficacy and to assess the final result. On fluoroscopy, the procedure is normally followed in a shallow LAO cranial projection.

When a double disc device is used, the procedure steps are the same for both PFO and ASD closure as follows (Figure 17, Supplementary Table 10): 1) right venous femoral access; 2) crossing of the PFO/ASD with a multipurpose catheter; 3) positioning of the device delivery sheath across the IAS on a stiff wire (directed in the left upper pulmonary vein); 4) sequential opening of the left and the right atrial discs of the device. The correct position of the device is finally assessed. The aim is to check the two discs lying parallel to each other between the IAS, to systematically review the rims and edges of the device, to rule out interference with other structures. Moreover, colour-Doppler allows evaluation of the possible persistence of a left-to-right shunt at the edges of the device or within it, and finally, 5) stability manoeuvre and the final release.

In case of ASD closure, a sizing balloon is required. The balloon is inflated with the contrast medium until ASD occlusion (no shunt at colour-Doppler). ASD balloon-stretched diameters can be obtained on a simultaneous 2D biplane view. These correspond to the balloon waist that can be observed and measured either with echocardiography or fluoroscopy. Once sizing of the defect is performed, the size of the device is chosen with an oversizing of about 30%.

Baseline and final contrast studies to assess the closure of the PFO are recommended.

When a suture-mediated device is used, a first suture is deployed on the septum secundum, then a suture on the septum primum, and finally the sutures are tightened together by deploying a small plastic knot and a final angiogram is performed. The C-arm is positioned in a steep LAO (60°) projection.

and the X-ray tube should not be moved throughout the procedure, hence a vertebral body marks the exact position of the septum primum and secundum, the target of the sutures. During the procedure, TOE might follow the passage of the wires and of the catheters, monitor complications and the final result; however, the sutures and their deployment needles are not visible on echocardiography.

ICE is an excellent tool for intraprocedural guidance during percutaneous PFO closure.

The ICE catheter is introduced transfemorally in the right atrium. If a catheter with a linear probe is used, the transducer needs to be oriented towards the interatrial septum (by turning the catheter under fluoroscopic guidance).

Bidimensional imaging will visualise the IAS and its anatomical characteristics. By injecting ultrasonic contrast medium in the femoral or peripheral vein, the degree and characteristics of the right-to-left shunt can be assessed. Colour-Doppler imaging can confirm additional left-to-right shunts and assess the colour flow across the PFO. In case of balloon interrogation of the PFO, the sizing balloon can be easily visualised by ICE. In case of double-disc devices, the ICE in the long axis atrial septal view is able to visualise all the steps of the procedure with the left atrial disc opening, its approximation towards the IAS and the right disc opening. The device can be assessed in two planes before the final release and colour Doppler can assess potential residual shunts (Figure 18).

**FOLLOW-UP**

TTE provides adequate images of the occluder device using the apical four-chamber view and subxiphoid acoustic windows. The degree of residual shunt can be easily quantified by the echographic contrast medium injection.

**PERCUTANEOUS CLOSURE OF PARAVALVULAR LEAKS**

PVL is a complication after surgical or transcatheter valve replacement. About 1-5% of PVLs can lead to serious consequences, including congestive heart failure and haemolytic anaemia. Traditionally, surgical re-intervention has been considered the treatment of choice for symptomatic patients with PVLs. However, it is associated with a high risk of morbidity and mortality, and the percutaneous option has emerged as a less invasive alternative.

**INDICATIONS FOR PVL CLOSURE**

In general, there are two indications for the closure of a PVL

1. Patients with significant regurgitation due to the PVL and symptoms of congestive heart failure.
2. Patients with significant regurgitation due to the PVL and haemolysis.

Important contraindications to PVL closure include presence of active local or systemic infection, active ischaemia, mechanical instability of the prosthetic valve, intracardiac thrombus, and patients with a life expectancy due to comorbidities that is less than six months.

**Figure 18.** ICE guidance of a double disc device PFO closure. A) Long-axis atrial septal view at baseline. B) Left atrial disc opening. C) Approximation towards the IAS. D) The right disc opening. The device can be assessed in two planes (E & F) before the final release. After the final release (G), the bubble test should be repeated in order to assess the immediate result (H). IAS: interatrial septum; ICE: intracardiac echocardiography PFO: patent foramen ovale
PREPROCEDURAL EVALUATION AND PREPROCEDURAL PLANNING

TOE, particularly 3D TOE, is the imaging modality of choice in percutaneous PVL closure. It plays an essential role in evaluating the defect, guiding the procedure, monitoring complications and assessing the results. Specifically, mitral PVL closure is a highly echo-dependent intervention.

An exhaustive evaluation of PVL anatomy is essential for the success of the procedure. Real-time 3D TOE imaging is especially useful to this end, particularly in mitral PVL. However, paravalvular defects should be confirmed with colour Doppler to avoid confusion with areas of echo drop-out due to low spatial resolution (Figure 19).

Furthermore, during preprocedural TOE, it is important to evaluate the presence of pericardial effusion and intracardiac thrombus and the transprosthetic gradient. In the presence of intracardiac thrombus, the procedure must be postponed. Pulmonary vein inflow for mitral leaks or descending aorta flow for aortic leaks should also be documented. Finally, the relation with adjoining structures (coronary arteries, calcium...) should be examined.

The shape of the cross-sectional area of the vena contracta (oval, irregular...), the vena contracta diameters, and the channel length determine the choice and size of occluding devices. Moreover, a surgical suture crossing the defect can impact on the choice of the devices and should be evaluated.

CT can provide additive information. Cardiac CT angiography can easily define location, size, shape, and trajectory of a PVL by MPR. Moreover, CT analysis allows preprocedural determination of the optimal fluoroscopic plane to be used during the procedure.

TOE GUIDANCE FOR PVL CLOSURE

The procedure is performed under fluoroscopic and TOE guidance (Figure 20A-Figure 20D, Supplementary Table 11).

During the procedure, only a target-oriented TOE is performed to confirm size, shape and location of the leak. TOE is used to guide the TSP, to help the crossing of the guidewire and catheter through the defect, to ensure an adequate positioning of the device over the defect, to evaluate prosthetic valve function, and to identify complications. To evaluate the results, the absence or

Figure 19. Leak evaluation and preprocedural planning. A & B) Localisation of mitral PVL. A) 3D colour-Doppler (transparency rendering). B) En face view of mitral valve prosthesis (3D true view rendering). C) Leak sizing by 3D MPR. D & E) Computed tomography (CT). D) Coronal view. E) Axial view of the prosthesis. CT evaluation is helpful particularly for sizing of the PVL and for deriving the working fluoroscopic projection. MPR: multiplanar reconstruction; PVL: paravalvular leak
reduction of colour-Doppler flow is the first and simplest sign of success. The 3D cross-sectional area of the vena contracta before and after the procedure can be compared, as well as prosthesis gradient and pulmonary vein (mitral leak) or descending aorta flow (aortic leak) in similar haemodynamic conditions.

In some patients with aortic PVL and contraindications to TOE, a procedure monitored solely by TTE could be carried out, but a previous CT is mandatory in this case.

Echo-fluoroscopic fusion imaging has simplified the percutaneous procedures of transcatheter PVL closure, particularly in cases of radiolucent prosthetic valves. By superimposing 2D, colour Doppler and 3D modalities on fluoroscopy, the fusion may help to:

- Carry out the TSP
- Identify the site of the leak on the fluoroscopic projection
- Engage the leak
- Deploy the device
- Evaluate the interference with prosthesis

RISK OF RADIATION EXPOSURE
The interventional imager can be subjected to high levels of radiation exposure due to their proximity to the X-ray source. Thus, a number of simple measures, such as the use of a protective lead coat, portable lead shielding, radiation reducing gloves, and distancing from the X-ray source, must be taken to minimise exposure and the associated risks. In addition, the imager should be monitored for radiation doses during procedures and a record of the cumulative dose maintained. Using a remote controlled robotic arm for real-time echocardiographic monitoring during procedures could be a future solution.

GREY AREAS AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS
Despite an increased demand for physicians with specific technical skills and competencies in SHD imaging, there is no standardised core curriculum for SHD interventional imagers so far.

The presence of metallic artefacts, as well as occasional difficulties in discerning tissue from devices/catheters, still represent a challenge for the imager. The development of specific tools, able to identify the different components by different colour codes, could facilitate the work of the team.

Accurate evaluation of the procedural result after device implantation is another open challenge. The presence of multiple jets, eccentricity, etc., make the use of the standard quantification methods unreliable. Thus, new software enabling semi-automated 3D flow quantification could be more accurate than conventional methods.

Conclusions
Imaging plays a central role for clinical decision making in SHD: before the procedure, to decide appropriateness and feasibility, as well as for intraprocedural guidance and post-procedural follow-up. The continuous expansion of device availability benefits from the improvements in the field of imaging. Consequently, interventional imaging as a subspecialty is likely to become more and more important with the development of a newer type of specialist, the “SHD Interventional Imager”.
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**Abbreviations**

3D: three-dimensional  
3DE: 3D echocardiography  
AP: anteroposterior  
ASD: atrial septal defect  
CAU: caudal  
CRA: cranial  
CS: coronary sinus  
CT: computed tomography  
CW: continuous wave  
FO: fossa ovalis  
IAS: interatrial septum  
ICE: intracardiac echocardiography  
IVC: inferior vena cava  
LA: left atrium  
LAA: left atrial appendage  
LAO: left anterior oblique  
LAX: long axis  
LV: left ventricular  
LVOT: left ventricular outflow  
MPR: multiplanar reconstruction  
MR: mitral regurgitation  
MV: mitral valve  
PISA: proximal isovelocity surface area  
PVL: paravalvular leak  
PW: pulsed wave  
RAO: right anterior oblique  
RCA: right coronary artery  
RV: right ventricular  
SAX: short axis  
SHD: structural heart diseases  
SVC: superior vena cava  
TCD: transcranial Doppler  
TMVI: transcatheter mitral valve interventions  
TOE: transoesophageal echocardiography  
TR: tricuspid regurgitation  
TSP: transseptal puncture  
TTE: transthoracic echocardiography  
TV: tricuspid valve  
VSD: ventricular septal defects  
VTI: velocity time integral

Supplementary Table 1. Transseptal puncture.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedural steps</th>
<th>Imaging modality</th>
<th>Fluoroscopy</th>
<th>Considerations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 1. Advancement of the TSP catheter towards the FO     | 2D bicaval view           | -             | •AP projection  
•LAO projection  
•In-depth knowledge of the anatomy of IAS and surrounding structures.  
•Prompt identification and recognition of |
<p>| 2. Correct                                            | 2D bicaval view           | 3D en-face view | RAO |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Step</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Views/Views</th>
<th>Views/Views</th>
<th>Views/Views</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>The catheter is against the FO “tenting”</td>
<td>•2D bicaval view</td>
<td>•3D lateral perspective of IAS</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>•SAX view</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>•4-chamber view (0°) to determine the height above the MV</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>•Biplane view that displays both the bicaval and the SAX views simultaneously</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Septal crossing</td>
<td>•Off-axis view obtained angling the beam between SAX and bicaval views</td>
<td>•3D overhead of LA</td>
<td>•AP projection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>Passage of the dilator and sheath across the septum</td>
<td>•Off-axis view obtained angling the beam between SAX and bicaval views</td>
<td>•3D overhead of LA</td>
<td>•AP projection</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- IAS: Interventricular septum
- FO: Foramen ovale
- RA: Right atrium
- LA: Left atrium
- SAX: Short axis
- MV: Mitral valve
- IVC: Inferior vena cava
- SVC: Superior vena cava

**Position and Orientation of the Tip of the Catheter**
- (90–120°) for superior-inferior orientation
- SAX view at the base (30–50°) for anterior-posterior orientation
- 4-chamber view (0°) to determine the height above the MV
- Biplane view that displays both the bicaval and the SAX views simultaneously

**Projection of IAS from the RA**
- 3D oblique perspective of IAS
- 3D lateral perspective of IAS (corresponding to bicaval view)

**Projection: the IAS is displayed in en face perspective**
- AP projection: the IAS is displayed in an oblique perspective
- LAO projection: showing side-on profile of the IAS

**Anatomical Landmarks**
- (i.e., aorta, SVC, IVC) in the echocardiographic views
- Use a standardised protocol to minimise serious complications
- Consider anatomical variations or complex anatomies that can change the spatial relationship between the FO and the surrounding structures
- Orientation by fluoroscopic and echocardiographic views: sometimes the fluoroscopic view does not match with the standard anatomical view
- Associate the tactile feedback with the visualisation of the movement of the catheter through the structures
- Adapt the procedure to specific subsequent structural interventions
Supplementary Table 2. LAA closure procedure.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedural steps</th>
<th>Imaging modality</th>
<th>Considerations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Echo</td>
<td>Fluoroscopy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. TSP</td>
<td>See TSP procedure</td>
<td>See TSP procedure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Wires and catheters navigation in LA</td>
<td>•2D TOE 4-chamber view</td>
<td>•3D over head of LA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. LAA sizing</td>
<td>•2D TOE 0°-60°, 90°-135°</td>
<td>•3D MPR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. LAA cannulation</td>
<td>•2D biplane views</td>
<td>•3D en face of LAA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Device deployment</td>
<td>•2D biplane views</td>
<td>•3D real time MPR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Evaluation of device positioning including tug test</td>
<td>•2D TOE 0°-60°, 90°-135 •2D biplane views</td>
<td>•3D real time MPR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Device release</td>
<td>•2D biplane views</td>
<td>•3D real time MPR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Post-delivery evaluation</td>
<td>•2D TOE 0°-60°, 90°-135</td>
<td>•2D TOE 0°-60°, 90°-135 •3D en face of LAA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Supplementary Table 3. Suitability for transcatheter leaflet approach repair.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>UNSUITABLE ANATOMY</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MR jet</td>
<td>Multiple jets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Extreme commissural jets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Large (&gt;50%) intercommissural extension of the regurgitant jet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leaflets morphology</td>
<td>Significant calcification in the grasping area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Perforation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Significant cleft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Severe restricted leaflet motion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Leaflet length &lt;8 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Barlow’s disease with multiple prolapsing scallops</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Flail width &gt;15 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Flail gap &gt;10 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>MV area &lt;3 cm²/haemodynamic significant mitral stenosis (mean G &gt;5 mmHg)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Severe annular/subvalvular/leaflet calcification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TSP height &lt;3.5 cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Very small PFO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Very poor TOE imaging quality</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Supplementary Table 4. Leaflets approach procedure.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedural steps</th>
<th>Imaging modality</th>
<th>Considerations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Echo</td>
<td>Fluoroscopy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MitraClip/PASCAL</td>
<td>MitraClip/PASCAL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. TSP</td>
<td>See TSP</td>
<td>See TSP</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
|                  | • Optimal TSP height is ≥45 mm  
|                  | • Desired TSP location is mid-fossa and posterior  
|                  | • According to aetiology: prolapse/flail: ∼4.5-5 cm above the mitral annulus; secondary MR with apical tethering: ∼3.5-4.0 cm above the annular plane. |
| 2. GC/DS advancement into LA | • Off-axis view obtained angling the beam between SAX and bicaval views  
|                  | • 4-chamber view  
|                  | • 3D overhead of LA  
|                  | AP projection  | • PASCAL: use 3D view of septum to ensure guide sheath tip flexes parallel to MV  
|                  |                  | • 3D overhead perspective of the LA offers a comprehensive view of spatial relationships  
|                  |                  | • 2D imaging that thanks to the better spatial resolution allows to evaluate the relationship between the device and LA structures. |
| 3. Steering and positioning | • Biplane views (commissural and LAX views)  
|                  | • 3D overhead of LA  | RAO CRA |
| 4. Axial alignment | • Biplane views (commissural and LAX views)  | RAO CRA |
| 5. Device orientation | • Biplane views (commissural and LAX views)  
|                  | • SAX transgastric view  
|                  | • 3D en face view of MV  | RAO CRA |
|                  | • PASCAL: identify anterior and posterior clasp  
<p>|                  | • No arms/ paddles should be seen in the commissural view and RAO CRA projection, while should be visualised in full length in LAX view. In cases of commissural targets, it |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>6. System advancement into LV</th>
<th>•Biplane views (commissural and LAX views)</th>
<th>RAO CRA</th>
<th>•The correct positioning and orientation are rechecked under simultaneous biplane views and 3D en face view.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7. Leaflets capture</td>
<td>•Biplane views (commissural and LAX views)</td>
<td>RAO CRA</td>
<td>•PASCAL: check for clasp(s) bouncing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Evaluation of leaflets insertion</td>
<td>•2D LAX, 4-chamber and commissural view •Biplane views •SAX transgastric view •3D en face view of MV •3D MPR</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>•Review recordings of clasp(s)/gripper(s) lowering and device closure •Evaluate direct and indirect signs: adequate length of the leaflet inside the arms/paddles (at least ≥5 mm); reduced mobility; the occurrence of a double MV orifice at 3D en face view of MV, MR reduction, the absence of intraclip jet, LASEC.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Results evaluation</td>
<td>See Supplementary Table 5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Device release</td>
<td>•Biplane views</td>
<td>RAO CRA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. System removal</td>
<td>•Multiple 2D ME views</td>
<td>RAO CRA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. ASD evaluation</td>
<td>•2D bicaval view with and without colour Doppler •Biplane view with and without colour Doppler •3D en face view of the IAS</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DS: delivery system; GC: guide catheter; ME: midoesophageal
Supplementary Table 5. Echocardiographic and haemodynamic parameters for grading MR severity leaflets repair.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Considerations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>HAEMODYNAMIC PARAMETERS</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left atrial pressure (LAP)</td>
<td>Reduction of a regurgitant V wave/reduction of LAP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic peripheral arterial pressure (sBP)</td>
<td>Increase in sBP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ECHOCARDIOGRAPHIC PARAMETERS</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qualitative</td>
<td>MR reduction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colour-Doppler jet • size • number • eccentricity</td>
<td>Reduction of numbers and size of jets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flow convergence size (at a Nyquist limit 25-40 cm/s)</td>
<td>Reduction of flow convergence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mitral inflow pattern</td>
<td>Decrease E-wave velocity/decrease inflow VTI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulmonary vein flow pattern</td>
<td>Increase in forward systolic component</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CW Doppler of MR jet (density, contour)</td>
<td>Decrease in density and modification of the shape</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PW LVOT (stroke volume, SV)</td>
<td>Increase in VTI LVOT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left atrial spontaneous echocontrast (LASEC)</td>
<td>Appearance/increase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Semi-quantitative</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Vena contracta (VC) width         | Not specific/not frequent | ≤0.3 cm             | • Not validated for multiple jets  
• Difficult for eccentric jets  |
| Quantitative                      |                     |                     |                     |
| 3D vena contracta Area            | VCA reduction       | <0.2 cm²            | • Likely a preferred method but limited studies available  
• Technical dependence/artefacts |
| Regurgitant volume (RV)           | RV reduction        |                     | • Requires excellent LV endocardial definition=> best with 3D echo or contrast echo  
• Cannot use mitral annulus site for flow because of MV devices (except MV annuloplasty)  
• Multiple measurements may compound errors=> technically difficult  
• Not accurate if >mild aortic regurgitation or VSD present |
| Regurgitant fraction (RF)         | RF reduction        | <30%                |                     |
Supplementary Table 6. Cardioband procedure.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedural steps</th>
<th>Imaging modality</th>
<th>Considerations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Echo</td>
<td>Fluoroscopy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. TSP</td>
<td>See TSP</td>
<td>See TSP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Optimal TSP height from annular plane: &gt;3.5 cm from MV. The puncture site must be straight above the posteromedial commissure (3D overhead perspective of LA or en-face view of IAS from the antero-lateral commissural perspective.</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. TSS insertion</td>
<td><strong>•2D SAX at the base</strong></td>
<td><strong>•AP projection</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>•3D overhead perspective of LA</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Navigation inside LA</td>
<td><strong>•Several 3D perspectives</strong></td>
<td><strong>•LAO CAU</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Implant deployment</td>
<td><strong>•2D biplane views</strong></td>
<td><strong>•RAO</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>•3D MPR</strong></td>
<td><strong>•2D and biplane views or MPR are used to assess the proper distance from the hinge point of posterior leaflet and the device angulation. The anchoring is checked via a push-and-pull test.</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. ISD removal and SAT insertion</td>
<td><strong>•3D overhead of LA</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Implant size adjustment/cinching</td>
<td><strong>•2D biplane views</strong></td>
<td><strong>•LAO CAU</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>•3D overhead of LA</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Results evaluation</td>
<td><strong>•2D colour Doppler</strong></td>
<td><strong>•3D en face view of MV</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>•2D biplane views</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>•Transmitral gradient</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IDS: implant delivery system; SAT: size adjustment tool. TSS: transseptal steerable sheath;
Supplementary Table 7. Echocardiographic Imaging of tricuspid valve.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>View</th>
<th>Considerations</th>
<th>View</th>
<th>Considerations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>•ME 4-chamber view</td>
<td></td>
<td>•En face view of TV</td>
<td>•3D data set must include aortic valve and IAS as anatomical landmarks for orientation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>•ME RV inflow/outflow view</td>
<td>•Equivalent to commissural view for the MV</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>•Biplane views starting from RV inflow/outflow views</td>
<td>•Essential procedural reference view for anterior-posterior and septal-lateral orientation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>•ME bicaval view</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>•Transgastric short axis and RV inflow views</td>
<td>•Essential procedural reference views for orientation and positioning of the devices</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Supplementary Table 8. Procedural steps of direct annuloplasty of TV.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedural steps</th>
<th>Imaging modality</th>
<th>Considerations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Echo</td>
<td>Fluoroscopy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. System insertion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• 2D bicaval view</td>
<td>• Biplane view</td>
<td>• AP projection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Navigation inside RA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• 3D overhead perspective of RA</td>
<td>• LAO CAU</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Implant deployment</td>
<td>• 2D biplane views</td>
<td>• 3D MPR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• 3D MPR</td>
<td>• RAO projections</td>
<td>• 2D and biplane views or MPR are used to assess the proper distance from the hinge point of posterior leaflet and the device angulation. • The anchoring is checked via a push-and-pull test.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Pull test</td>
<td>• 2D biplane views</td>
<td>• RAO projections</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Implant size adjustment/cinching</td>
<td>• 3D overhead perspective of RA</td>
<td>• LAO CAU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Results evaluation</td>
<td>• 2D colour Doppler</td>
<td>• 3D en face perspective of TV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• 2D biplane views</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Trans-tricuspid gradient</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Procedural steps</td>
<td>Imaging modality</td>
<td>Considerations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. GS/DS advancement into RA</td>
<td>Echo: MitraClip/PASCAL; Fluoroscopy: MitraClip/PASCAL</td>
<td>3D AP projection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Steering and positioning</td>
<td>Echo: 3D en face view of TV; Fluoroscopy: RAO projections</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Axial alignment and trajectory</td>
<td>Echo: ME en face view starting from RV inflow-outflow view; Fluoroscopy: RAO projections</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Device orientation</td>
<td>Echo: Transgastric SAX view; Fluoroscopy: RAO projections</td>
<td>PASCAL: identify each clasp, Paddles/clip arms perpendicular to the coaptation line</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. System advancement into RV</td>
<td>Echo: Biplane transgastric SAX and RV; Fluoroscopy: RAO projections</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Leaflets capture</td>
<td>Echo: ME biplane views (ME inflow-outflow); Fluoroscopy: RAO projections</td>
<td>PASCAL: check for clasp(s) bouncing, Adjust viewer angle in ME inflow-outflow view plane to find narrow profile of the implant and full opened clasps arms in the derived view</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Evaluation of leaflets insertion</td>
<td>Echo: Transgastric SAX view, ME biplane views; Fluoroscopy: RAO projections</td>
<td>Limited leaflet mobility, TR reduction</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 8. Results evaluation | • Colour Doppler  
• Inflow gradient | • 3D colour Doppler |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9. System removal</td>
<td>• 2D ME views</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Supplementary Table 10. PFO/ASD closure.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedural steps</th>
<th>Imaging modality</th>
<th>Considerations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Echo</td>
<td>Fluoroscopy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Baseline evaluation</td>
<td>• 2D SAX at base (0°-45°)</td>
<td>• 3D en face of IAS • 3D MPR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Bicaval view</td>
<td>• Echo Fluoroscopy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• ME 4-chamber view</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. PFO/ASD crossing</td>
<td>• Bicaval view</td>
<td>• Bicaval view • ME SAX at the base • Biplane views (bicaval, SAX view)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• ME SAX at the base</td>
<td>• Biplane views (bicaval, SAX view)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Biplane views (bicaval, SAX view)</td>
<td>• 3D en face view of IAS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Delivery sheath across the IAS</td>
<td>• AP projection • LAO CRA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Sizing balloon, in case of ASD closure</td>
<td>• Biplane views (bicaval, SAX view) with and without colour Doppler</td>
<td>• AP projection • LAO CRA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Device positioning</td>
<td>• ME view at 45°-60°, 90-105°</td>
<td>• 3D en face view of IAS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Stability manoeuvre</td>
<td>• Bicaval view</td>
<td>• AP projection • LAO CRA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Release</td>
<td>• Bicaval view</td>
<td>• AP projection • LAO CRA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Final evaluation</td>
<td>• Biplane views (bicaval, SAX view) with and without colour Doppler</td>
<td>• 3D en face view of IAS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Supplementary Table 11. Procedural steps of mitral PVL closure.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedural steps</th>
<th>Imaging modality</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Echo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. TSP</td>
<td>See TSP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. TAP: transapical puncture in case of retrograde approach</td>
<td>See TMVR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Leak cannulation</td>
<td>•ME biplane view</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>•Personalised fluoroscopic projection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Devise positioning</td>
<td>•ME biplane view</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>•Personalised fluoroscopic projection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Evaluation of residual regurgitation</td>
<td>•ME views with colour Doppler</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Evaluation of prosthesis function</td>
<td>•ME views with colour-Doppler</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>•Transmitral gradient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Release</td>
<td>•ME views</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Results evaluation</td>
<td>•ME views with colour Doppler</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>•Transmitral gradient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>